G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

19D/-02

(Muzkai)

File No. 57

Section I

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month July 1907

SUBJECT.

Passes orders on the memorial of Kumpiah of Guloor Village, Coompl aining against the orders of the Deputy Commissioner, Bellary dist. directing the resumption of certain Inam lands belonging to the Dharmantha Dwara Mutt at Manangi Village, Bellary Taluk, purchased by him.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Restoration to the alienees, of certain Inam lands belonging to the Dhanankadevaru Matt at Manangi in the Tumkur Taluk.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Letter No. 319, Dated 22nd September 1906.

Slip. F.

Slip. G

Slip C.

This is an appeal brought by Nanjiah and Kempiah of Gulur against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District, declining to recognize their rights to a portion of the Matt Inam alienated to them by the Agent of the Matt. The facts of the case are fully set forth in the Council Court decision dated the 18th July 1891, in the Govt Order dated the 1st. June ~~1904~~ and in the Deputy Commissioner's letter dated the 29th August 1904. A summary of it is given below:-

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

Para. 2. The Dhanankadevaru Matt at Manangi was in the enjoyment of a piece of land granted to it in 1812 by H.H. the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodier Bahadur III, subject to the payment of a jodi of 13 Fanams. At the time of the Inam Settlement in 1872, the Inam was confirmed to the Matt on a quit-rent of ₹3-12-0, the question of dealing with the excess discovered in the Inam lands being left for settlement by the Survey Department. During the Survey, the lands were made into four Survey Nos. 58, 59, 60 and 61, measuring 106 acres 10 guntas, of which No. 59, measuring 26 acres 25 guntas, was treated partly as Inam and partly as excess, the other 3 Nos. being brought under the head Khalsat and charged with full assessment. 58 (a-11.

3. One Rudrayya, who was managing the affairs of the Matt, thereupon sold Sur. No. 58 in 1882 to one Nanjappa for ₹150, and the Khalsat portions of the Sur. No. 59 in 1883 to one Budumiah and Rangiah for ₹150 and ₹70 respectively. Subsequently, the

Mur. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Inam Settlement was revised in 1889, when a change was effected in the case of Sur. No. 59; that is land assessed at Rs 18-12-0 in the said No. was treated as Inam, the remainder bearing an assessment of Rs 4-4-0 being treated as excess. The papers relating to the alienation of Sur. No. 59 having come up before the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Cress in 1890, he ordered that the alienees Budumiah and Rangiah should give back land assessed at Rs 12/- after receiving refund of the purchase money from the appellant Basavadevaru who was the Priest of the Matt. Budumiah then appealed to Govt against the order of the Deputy Commissioner. The appeal was heard by 2 Members of Council and it was decided on the 18th July 1891, that as the land purchased by Budumiah and Rangiah "was not Inam when it was sold, but had been treated as Inam subsequently, it would be hard to cancel the sale on the ground the land sold was Inam". The Deputy Commissioner

Slip F.

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

was therefore requested "to assign an extent equal to the portion of Sur. No. 59 treated as Inam subsequent to the purchase, in Sur. No. 60 or 61, which were still in the possession of the Respondent, and treat the land purchased by Appellant and Rangiah as Patta land".

4. While the matter stood thus, an appeal was preferred to Govt by the Agent of the Matt (Rudrayya) against the Inam Settlement, claiming that under Rule xi clause A of the Inam Rules, the excess discovered in the Inam lands should be confirmed to the Matt on the original jodi. The appeal was heard by the Councillors Messrs. Thumboo Chetty and Chentsal Rao. Their decision, which was communicated in Govt Pro No. 21529-30/P.F. 116-92, dated the 1st June 1894, Slip. G. was to the effect that the lands originally granted were within the Lingamudra stones, that it was they that were made into 4 Sur. Nos. 58, 59, 60 and 61, measuring 106 acres 10 guntas, and that the Matt was

therefore entitled to all those Nos. on the Inam quit-rent of Rs3-12-0. The Inam Settlement was ordered to be revised accordingly and the Deputy Commissioner was directed to settle the Matt's Land Revenue account with Govt in accordance with the above order.

5 Thereupon Pattada Basavadevaru of the Matt represented to the Deputy Commissioner in 1895 that the alienation of the Inam by the Agent Rudrayya was untenable and prayed that, as the Govt had confirmed all the lands of the Matt as Inam, the alienated lands might be resumed and restored to the Matt. The Deputy Commissioner served a notice on the alienees under clause B, Rule 2 of the Alienation Rules of 1877, requiring them to restore the lands to the Matt. They having failed to do so, the lands were summarily resumed and restored to the Matt. The present appeal is against this order of the Deputy Commissioner.

What was the date of the Order (illegible) in Vol. 7 ... 2 ...

GPB--3,000—22-6-06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

The Appellants are Nanjiah (alience of Sur. No.58) and Kempiah, son of Budumiah (one of the alienees of a portion of Sur. No. 59). Their contention is that the Alienation Rules of 1877 do not apply to the lands sold to them by the Agent Rudriah, in as much as they were only Patta lands at the time of their sale, and that in the case of Govt confirming the whole of the lands to the Matt as Inam, it may do so, excluding the above portion of the land; or that any other piece of land instead may be given to the Matt; or that they may be allowed to enjoy the lands paying their Kandayem to the Matt, instead of to Govt. as at present.

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks.

Survey No. 58 and the Khalsat portion of the Survey No. 59 were not Inam when they were sold. This fact was already observed in the Council Court

Slip. F.

decision of the 18th July 1891. The fact of those lands having been alienated does not appear to have been brought to the notice of Govt when they had to decide the appeal of the Matt Agent Rudriah

Slip. G.

in June 1894.

I am therefore of the humble opinion that the Alienation Rules of 1877 cannot be made applicable to the present case. The only possible course seems to be to allow the alienees to continue to enjoy the lands, subject to the payment of the Kandayem to the Matt.

The Agent Rudriah's conduct does not seem satisfactory. It was he who sold the lands in question and it was again he who appealed to Govt in 1894 on behalf of the Matt. He is reported to have died.

Kempiah, one of the Appellants, submitted a Memorial to H.H. the Maharaja on the 9th August 1906, on which His Highness has been pleased to

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Mužrai Secretariat.

call for the submission of papers in the case. Slip. E.

389 Submitted to the Dewan)
)
)
thro' the II Councillor.) Ellanchetty Rama Rao

10th March 1907.

I should like to hear both parties before forming an opinion Please fix an early date

KPR
14/3/07

I have spoken to the Counsellor Please post this to the 26th instant (Thursday) Issue the notices at once (today)

KR 14/3/07

Muz 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

1323
9.4.07

I heard the parties at great length on the 26th March 1907 at Bangalore. The respondent Basavappa Devaru was represented by advocate Mr S. Narasimhadevaru

For a proper understanding of the case it is necessary to bear in mind that this is a Devadaya Inam land granted in 1812 by H.H the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur III and that being a Devadaya Inam it is inalienable The excess land discovered at the Survey was charged with Khalsat which, however on appeal to Govt, was remitted and

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

the whole land ordered to be
treated as Inam - vide (with
Proceedings no 21529 - 30 P J
116 - 92 of 1st June 1894 - G
It must be stated in this connection
that Collection of Assessment on Temple
Khalsat lands was ordered to be
held in abeyance on 11th Nov 1895
and it was finally remitted
on 8th January 1902 "the Sezess
"lands being treated as forming
"part & parcel of the original
"grant" vide G.O 2973-83 of 9/1/02 J
The G.O of 1st June 1894
above referred to, had anticipated
the Orders of 9/1/02, but on a
different ground - viz. that
there was no Sezess in the Main

Serial No.

× Rudraiya

× on 18 July 1871.
F

When the so called Success
was charged with Khalsa
the Agent of the Matt sold
some of the Khalsa lands
to the appellants for Rs
370. The matter came in
appeal before Govt and
they decided that as the
land "was not Inam when
"it was sold, but had
"been treated as Inam
"subsequently it would be
"hard to cancel the sale
"on the ground that the land
"sold was Inam" The Dy
Commr was requested to
"assign an extent equal
"to the patta of Sur no 59
"treated as Inam subsequent
"to the purchase, in Sur no
"60 or 61 which were still in
"the possession of the respondent
"and treat the land purchased
"by appellant & Ramiah

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No. 11

as Patta land". In my humble opinion this decision does not appear to be correct In the first place Khalsa land is not of the nature of Patta land. It is included in the Inam quit rent register - and the amount of Khalsa is shown as "Inam Kasar". In fact the whole land is treated as Inam, a certain Khalsa being charged for the Excess If this view is Correct none of the Khalsa lands can be alienated Only the extent of Khalsa land is mentioned, but it is not demarcated. Hence it cannot be distinguished from the Inam portion of the land

In the present Case a subsequent

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

G

decision of Govt in 1894
places in beyond doubt that
there was no excess area
that the whole land was
Inam This decision
must be held to have
cancelled or overruled
the G.O of 1891- which as
above pointed out was
of doubtful propriety

The Agent of the Matt
(since dead) had no power
to sell the land whether
it was Khalsat or Deva
daya Inam and Govt
cannot recognize this
transaction in view of
the fact that the land
has been Devadaya
Inam from first to
last, though for a time
a portion was treated as
Khalsah erroneously

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

The Dy Commr has already restored the alienated lands to the Matt and I think he has acted right &c

The appellants are no doubt entitled to sympathy But they cannot get back the lands. Their remedy lies in such action as they may be advised to take against the heirs of Rudrayya

The matter has settled itself and no further action appears to be called for.

R. N. Mukherjee
2 Councillor

4384
9.6.07

9/6/07.

The Dewan

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Submitted to His Highness

E

As called for in Private Secretary's memo No.434, dated 14th August 1906, I submit herewith the file of papers relating to the memorial of Kempia, son of Budumaiya of Gulur, Tumkur Taluk, complaining against the orders of the Deputy Commissioner directing the restoration of certain Inam lands belonging to the Dhanankadevaru Matt at Manangi purchased by him.

The facts of the case are clearly set forth in the typed office note pages 1 to 6.

The Muzrai Secretary is of opinion that the appeal should prevail to the extent that the appellants should be allowed to retain possession of the lands in question paying Kandayem to the Matt.

The Second Councillor is of opinion that the restoration of the lands to the Matt by the Deputy Commissioner is correct and that the appeal should be rejected.

The circumstances of this case raise some questions which it is extremely difficult to solve satisfactorily.

C

Now as regards the case for the appellants, the lands were sold to them or their predecessors in title so long ago as 1882 by Rudraiya, the then agent of the Matt. This man is also the father of the present respondent Basavadevaru the Pattadaiya or head of the Matt. The sale was for valuable consideration and at the time of the sale the lands in question had

been treated as excess and subjected to full assessment, though as regards survey No.59 the excess and inam were included in the same survey number.

Whether the mere fact that full assessment was charged on a certain portion of a survey number deprived that portion of its character of Devadaya Inam land and rendered it alienable, is a question on which difference of opinion may exist. The Second Councillor is of opinion that the land still remained Devadaya Inam and was inalienable, and I think he is correct in this view.

F But on the other hand when the question was raised in 1891, the then Council held otherwise and upheld the alienations. In the circumstances it cannot be said that the alienees purchased the land with knowledge of any defect in the title, or that the alienor was aware of any such defect. Nor does it appear that the sale of the land by the agent was fraudulent or otherwise than for the purposes of the Matt.

Such being the case it seems to me that the alienees deserve some consideration.

So far as regards the equities of appellants case.

Now turning to the case for the Matt, there G is a decision of the Government dated 1894 in which al the lands in question are held to be Devadaya and attached to the Matt.

In accordance with this decision the Deputy Commissioner got the possession transferred to the L Matt in the year 1899. The lands are thus in the

possession of the rightful owners and possession cannot be disturbed, and thus the remedy suggested by the Muzrai Secretary, viz., to leave the lands with appellants and levy full assessment and make it payable to the Matt cannot be carried out.

The Matt may be asked to pay back the price of the lands to the appellants, but Government have no means of enforcing the payment if the Matt refuses to pay. The appellants may be told that Government does not see its way to granting them the relief prayed for by them.

30/4/1907

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

1507
852

[illegible] of Kolhapur, Girna, T. [illegible] T[illegible], Complainants (Appellants) [illegible] Dep[illegible] Civil Judge, Ordinary Jurisdiction [illegible] Court of Sessions Judge at Ratnagiri [illegible] the Dismissal of Mutation Entry No. [illegible], Tuljapur Taluka.

Order.

8/6

808/15/5/07

I agree that Government can give no relief, but there is nothing, I presume, to prevent the appellants seeking a remedy in the Civil Court.

KRW
11/5/07

14/5/1907 — 463

Submit to the 2nd Councillor for perusal.
16/5/07

Read 16/5/07

Draft ready 16/5/07

Seen 17/5/07

Issued 16/5/07

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued.

As graciously directed by H. H. the Maharaja, orders were issued in Govt. Pros No. 364, dated 24th July 1907, rejecting the petition of Kempiah, son of Budamiah of Gulur, Tumkur District, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner directing the restoration of certain inam lands belonging to the Dhanankadevam Matt at Manaegi purchased by the appellant.

The said Kempiah has now submitted a Review petition, to H. H. the Maharaja, stating that when the Agent Rudriah sold the land to him, he had promised to answer for any defect of title with reference to the land sold, and therefore praying that he may be paid back the sum of Rs 150, which was the amount of the purchase together with interest thereon from the year 1899, during which the land was

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

question was resumed from him under orders of the Deputy Commissioner. The petition has been forwarded to this Office by the Private Secretary for disposal with the request that the petnr may be informed exactly how the case stands.

With reference to the point urged by the petitioner, the 2nd Councillor has observed that "the appellants (Kempiah, the present petitioner, and another) are no doubt entitled to sympathy. But they cannot get back the lands. Their remedy lies in such action as they may be advised to take against the heirs of Rudrayya". The Dewan has also observed that "the Matt may be asked to pay back the price of the lands to the appellants, but Govt have no means of enforcing the payment if the Matt refuses to pay. The appellants may be told that Govt does not see its way to granting them the relief prayed for by them." Thereupon, His Highness has been pleased to order that "I agree that Govt can give no relief, but there is nothing, I presume, to prevent the appellants seeking a remedy in the Civil Court." Orders

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

were therefore issued in Govt. Pro: No 3664, dated 24th July 1907, that "Govt. can give no relief to the appellants."

Orders are solicited as to whether the petitioner may be referred to the Endorsement No. 3665 dated 24th July 1907, already granted to him, and also supplied with a printed copy of the Govt. order No. 3664 of the same date, from which the petitioner may know exactly how his case stands.

Submitted.) Muzrai Sec'y's remarks:—

In the Endorsement already granted in this case, reasons have been given as to why the lands could not be taken out of the possession of the Math and given to the Petrs. It was then stated that Govt. could not give the Petrs. any remedy. They may now be told that there

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

is nothing to prevent their resorting to the Civil Courts for recovering any moneys due to them on account of purchase amount, cost of improvements &c.

An Endt: to the above effect is put up A copy of the Govt: order may also be furnished to the Petrs.

Submitted to the 2nd Committee 17/

20/10/09

Approved

12/10/09

Draft ready

13/10/09

Reptn (40 quoted)
8.99.

Genl. Records
Please put up the
file re O.P. 116 of 92
referred to.

AK
3/8/99. Above file sent to Petition Branch for Gl No. 6952 924/3/98
Pet 520
on 22-7-98
4-8-99 BB 4/8/99

Please put up a printed copy
of Q.O. No 21529-30 dt 31-6-94
O.P. 116 of 92

AK
5/8/99 Printed Pro No 21529 under
P.P. 116 of 92
5/8/99

Subd
(1) Two Rs stamps affixed - Rec'd
(2) The Def's Comrs order complained of is dated 15.7.99 - Petitioner
(3) Petr prays for orders not to disturb his possession of the land pending disposal of this appeal
Where are the papers connected
1899 with Govt cust No 4271 5/7/8[illegible]
7.8.99 5/8/99
Records The papers are laid & hence fear
Pet 7.8.99 put up as Sup[illegible] Petn
Transferred to the Collector
Appellate Jurisdiction
[illegible]

1899

No. 246.

Name of Petitioner Nanjiah Son of Byrappah.

Village Gulur

Taluk } Tumkur.
District }

Date of Arzi 28-7-99.

Date of Receipt 1-8-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Two.

ABSTRACT

a/pltt Nanjiah. Respt 1. Gnaneda Rudriah 2. Basappa (Minor).

This is an appeal against the order No 89 15-7-99 of the Dy: Comr: Tumkur, which runs as follows:—

"on Rudriah, the father of Byrappa Deceased, appealing against the Govt Cust: passed in the year 1891, to the Effect that the land should not be removed from out of your possession, order No 21529-30 P.P. 116-92 31-6-94 was given confirming as Inam S. Nos 58, 59, 60 & 61

in order to make up the ~~[illegible]~~
acres Guntas
106–10 of Inam he was originally
enjoying; & as in accordance
with the Inam Supdt's No. 309
of 1-2-99, issued after much
correspondence, order No. 999
of 27-2-99 was given by the District
& the amildar, your wish cannot be complied with."

The Petnr States that

On 1-1-82 he bought for
Rs 150, land S. No. 58 of Kanaanga
village from Rudriah, which
was in his possession & enjoy-
-ment, on the condition that
he alone would be responsible
if any takrar were to arise

2. That the land is in his (Petnrs)
enjoyment for the last 17 years.
3 That S. No. 58 was resumed
from Inam in the year Vishu
& kandayam imposed on it.
4. That in the two Faraks this
was not recognised as Inam
but when it was done so
in the 3rd Farak, Govt was
aware that the land had
been disposed of.
5. That the sanad con-
-tains only 6 Varaha & 3 Hana
as Inam

2. Petr No. 246.

6. Mat- Notn 9/7-11-77 printed in page 88 of the Impore Revenue Manual does not apply to lands which have already been disposed of & which have been assessed.

7. Mat- if the respondent had no right to sell the land, Govt should not have allowed it to stand in the Khata & patta of Rudriah.

Prays that in case of Govt confirming the above land to the Instt as Inam, it may do so by giving another piece of land in exchange to the Instt; or that he may be asked to enjoy the land paying Kandaya to the Instt; & that the land may be kept in his possession till final orders are passed. Enc-1. order appealed against

2. Sale deed 9/1-82

Gm
2/8/99.

246

246.R

1-8

My Visit- appointment 1-8-

3. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਸਾਲ 58-59 ਦੌਰਾਨ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਫ਼ਸਲ ਦੀ ਪੈਦਾਵਾਰ ਦਾ ਔਸਤ ਮੁੱਲ 1,19,000/- ਰੁਪਏ ਬਣਦਾ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦਾ ਮੁਦਈ ਹੱਕਦਾਰ ਹੈ।

4. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਫ਼ਸਲ ਦੀ ਪੈਦਾਵਾਰ ਦਾ ਔਸਤ ਮੁੱਲ 1,19,000/- ਰੁਪਏ ਬਣਦਾ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦਾ ਮੁਦਈ ਹੱਕਦਾਰ ਹੈ।

5. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਫ਼ਸਲ ਦੀ ਪੈਦਾਵਾਰ ਦਾ ਔਸਤ ਮੁੱਲ 1,19,000/- ਰੁਪਏ ਬਣਦਾ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦਾ ਮੁਦਈ ਹੱਕਦਾਰ ਹੈ।

28-7-1996

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗೇಶ್ವರ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನ

ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಸಕಲ ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಪಾರಂಗತ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಭಕ್ತಾದಿಗಳಾದ ಸಮಸ್ತ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ ಪತ್ರ

ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಪೂಜಾ ಕೈಂಕರ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಭಕ್ತಾದಿಗಳ ಸೌಕರ್ಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಆವರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಮಂಟಪವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಮಂಟಪ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಆವರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 58 ರಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸುಮಾರು 1 ಎಕರೆ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು 1-1-82 ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಗೈಡ್ಲೈನ್ ಮೌಲ್ಯದಂತೆ ರೂ. 15000 ಕ್ಕೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
1899/113
TUMKUR

No. 245

Name of Petitioner Kempiah son of Budumiah

Village Gulur

Taluk Tumkur.

District

Date of Appl 28-7-99

Date of Receipt 1-8-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Eleven.

ABSTRACT

Aspelt Kempiah — Respdt 1 Malada Rudr 2. Basappa (his s

This is an appeal against the order No 89 15-7-99 of the Dy. Commr, Tumkur, which runs as follows.—

"On Rudriah, the father of Birappa Devaru, appealing against the Govt Endt- pass in the year 1891, to the effect that the land should not be removed from out of your possession, order No 215-29-30 P.T 116-92 31-6-99 was given confirming

Ver: Records.

Refer and put up with Petn no 246 Rebup refer of 1890

5/8/99 ಇವರ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 90-91 ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 725 ನೇ ನಂಬ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಿಲ್ಲ 4277 ನಂಬ್ರದ 11.7 ಗುಂಟೆ ತೆಂಗಿನ ತೋಟವನ್ನು 56 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು 8/8/99

To Dy Commr Records for compliance.

3/9/99

Please refer to Petition No. 2109 & of 1895 for the references alluded to above.

10-8-99 10/8/99

Ver: Records for compliance.

ಇವರ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲಿನ ನಂಬ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ನಂಬ್ರದ ತೆಂಗಿನ ತೋಟವನ್ನು 20-12-950 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. 10-8-99

Pet 111 & 9-8-99 relating to Manange Matt, Land, is put up

12/8/99 No. Pet 150 dated 17/8/99 Transfered to the Secretary to Govt Revenue Dept in continuation of No Pet 111 dated 9th instant.

By order

Secretary to Govt Rev Dept.

August 1899. Received

as Inam S. No 8, 59, 60 & 6
in order to make up the 106-10 acres Gunta
of Inam he was originally enjoying,
& as in accordance with the
Inam Supdt's No. 309 dt 1-2-99,
issued after much correspondence,
order No 996 dt 27-2-99 was given
by the District to the Amildar,
your wish cannot be complied
with."

1. The petnr states that
on 7-1-83 he bought for Rs 155
13 acres & 4 Guntas of land out of
S. No. 59 of Incuangi village,
which was in the name &
enjoyment of Rudriah, only
the condition that Rudriah
himself would get the matter
settled, in case any Cakrar
were to arise.

2. That he has been enjoying
the land for the last 16 years.

3. That according to the Sanad
of the year 1812 the Inam
is only stated as 6 Varaha & 3
Hana (according to old accounts
11 kinds of dry & 4 kinds of wet land).

4. That Notification dt 17-11-77
contained in page 88 of the

Mysore Revenue Manual does not apply to Inam lands which have Khalsa imposed upon them & which ~~were had~~ already had already been disposed of.

5. That the Petnr bought the land fully believing that Rudriah had a right to sell it as he was paying Kandayam for it & as many such Inams have been bought elsewhere in the province, without any fear of losing them; Otherwise Govt would not have allowed the land to stand in the name of his Khata & patta.

Prays that in case of a Govt confirming the whole of the lands to the Inamdar as Inam, it may do so excluding the above portion of the land; or that any other piece instead of

the above one may be given to the Mutt; or that the petr may be allowed to enjoy the land paying its kandayam to the Mutt, which he has been paying to Govt at present; & that the Dy. Commr may be ordered to keep the land in his possession till final orders are passed. Enc. 1. order appealed against.

2. Sale deed of 7-1-83.
3. Survey Report No 380 of 21-6-89 to the Dy. Commr.
4. No 3410 of 18-7-91 from the Dy: Commr to the Amildar.
5. Govt Endt No 725-9 of 17-7-91
6. Taraf Patrik sent from the Inam Commr to the Amildar No 135-9 of 18-7-91.
7. Nirup granted to the late H.H Krishnaraja Wadiar.
8. Copy of Inam register.
9. Chief Commrs Notice of 17-11-77.
10. No. 2461 Khata of Burial of 81-82.
11. 2nd Respdt petr to the Dy Commr. of 5-9-93 & the Govt thereon

Sm
4/8/94.

245

245-R

By Post-registered
1-8-95

Two ½ stamp affixed mdl

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date .....
REG. No 175
MUZRAI BRANCH

1.

2.

3. ಎರಡಿಗಿಂತಲೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಅಂಶಗಳಿಂದ ಕೂಡಿದ ಸಂಯುಕ್ತ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮಾಸ ಎಂದು ಹೆಸರು

$$\frac{\frac{21}{12} - 3\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{7}{8} - 9 - 6\frac{1}{4}} \div \frac{\frac{15}{16} - 3\frac{1}{2}}{2.75}$$

4. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದ್ಯವನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಮಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಅವುಗಳ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಳಪವ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು ಕೈಯಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲಿನ ಬಳಪವ ಪಿಡಿದು
ಮೇಲಕೆ ಕೆಳಕೆ ಬಲಕೆ ಎಡಕೆ ಬರೆಯುವ ಬಾಲಕರೆಲ್ಲರು ಬನ್ನಿರಿ
ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಳಪವ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು ಕೈಯಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲಿನ ಬಳಪವ ಪಿಡಿದು
ಮೇಲಕೆ ಕೆಳಕೆ ಬಲಕೆ ಎಡಕೆ ಬರೆಯುವ ಬಾಲಕರೆಲ್ಲರು ಬನ್ನಿರಿ

5. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಐದು ಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಆರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಅವುಗಳ ಸಮಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಐದು ಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಆರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಅವುಗಳ ಸಮಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಐದು ಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಆರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಅವುಗಳ ಸಮಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

9.

9.

28 ...

29 ...

30 ...

31 ...

32 ...

33 ...

34 ...

35 ...

36 ...

37 ...

38 ...

Refd
3/00

24.6.99 memo no 1113 2-8-99

2R
073/00

Refd petitioner

All

10/3/900 No Pet 907 dated 15/3/1900
ws.
Transferred to the Secy
to Govt. Revenue dept in
continuation of this office
No Pet dated 9-8-99
111

By order
for Secretary to G.

17/3/00

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date .....
REG No 1254
BANGALORE

Refers
6/4/00

1900

No. 1743

Name of Petitioner Ranjaiya

Village Cooleer

Taluk } Tumkur
District }

Date of Arzi 6. 3. 00

Date of Receipt do

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2 C

ABSTRACT

Reqts for early orders on his appeal petn dd 28-7-99 in re dispute relating to inam S. No. 58 of Manangi village in the Tumkur taluk in the holding of the Pet Respondent Mattada Ranjaiya.

P. 7/3/00

1754

6-3

6/3/1900

Refer
3 06

245-799 memo No 150 dy 4-8-99

UR
9/3/00

Rep[t] put up

All
10/3/900 No 906 dated 15/3/1900
transferred to the
Secretary to Govt, Mysore
in
Sepl[t], in continuation of
his office No 150 dated 17-8-99

By order
for Secretary

12-3-00. 17/3/00

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date .....
REG No 1253
MUZRAI BRANCH

1900

No. 1742

Name of Petitioner Kempaiya

Village } Goolur
Taluk } Tumkur
District }

Date of Arzi 6. 3. 00

Date of Receipt do

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2 & 1

ABSTRACT

Reps for early orders on his appeal-petition dy 28-7-99 in re disputed inam relating to S. No. 59 of Manangi village in the Tumkur taluk, in the holding of the 1st respondent viz, Mateda Rudraiya

7/3/900

1742

MUZULEDMOL

Refer
9.3.00

743/99 to Muzarai deptt
No Pet/150 dt 17-8-99

Mark
2
20/3 Refer petitn

All
20/3/900

vide petn 1785



1900

No. 1787

Name of Petitioner Ranjia son of Boyrappa

Village Sulur

Taluk Tumkur

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 14-3-00

Date of Receipt 15-3-00

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

State that on 28-7-99 he submitted an appeal petition regarding the dispute about the Inam land survey no. 58 of the Mutt at Manampi - that on 6-3-00 he posted a reminder petition in the Petition box - that nine months have elapsed since he submitted his appeal petition - that no order has as yet been passed that nine days have elapsed since he came to Bangalore to submit a reminder and ascertain personally what has become of it & that he returned his village tomorrow - Prays that he may be given an Endorsement

Petn No 1787 from
Manjia son of
Byrappa of Gulur
Dunkur Taluk

Date of Petn 14-3-00
—do— rcpt 15-3-00

Enc Nil

States that he submitted
an appeal re the dispute
about Inam land No 58
of Marangi Mutto
& posted a reminder on
6-3-00. Although 9
months have elapsed
since then no order
are passed. 9 days
have passed since he
coming here to post
the above reminder
to know personally ho
it is disposed. So
Prays that an early
may be sent to his
village to which he
returns

Vide order on
No 1785 hon
post

[illegible]

Rent
W. Pat Pay
15/3/1900

1787

7257

DATE 15-3-1900 No. 1787

Refer

19/3/00

1742 of 99 To Muzarai dcpttom
12-3-00

Mysl
20/3

Repr petitr.

All
20/3/900

No. Pet 959 dated 26/3/1900
Transferred to the Secretary to
Government Mwzrcy department
with petition no 1787, for disposal
in continuation of previous
Correspondence {Rel- 967 & 1573/IV}

By order

for Secretary
Genl's Rev Depttt

23rd March 1900

what orders have been passed - that he would return to his village tomorrow since he finds it difficult to procure meals and other comforts there. Requests that an Endorsement may be sent to this Office informing him of what has become of his petitions -

MK
17/3/00

Refer as [illegible]

OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
No 1275

1800

No. 1785

Name of Petitioner Kenchia son of Budmia

Village Jalior

Taluk Jamkhar

District Jamkhar

Date of Arzi 14-3-00

Date of Receipt 14-3-00

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

States that on 28-7-99 he submitted an appeal & petition regarding the dispute about the Inam land, Survey No. 59 of Kadam the Mukh at Mamanje. that on 6-3-00 he posted a Memorandum in the Petition ~~Kooll~~ box - that nearly nine months have Elapsed since he submitted his appeal - that no order has as yet been passed in the matter - that nine days have Elapsed since he came to Mangalore to submit a Memorandum and to know

1788

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 1275
POLITICAL BRANCH

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 1785 R
DATE 14 3 00

P

Pet 959 & 26.3.00.
26.

Pet No 1785 from
Kempia son of
Bondumia of
Gulwe, Dumbara
Talent

Date of Pet. 14-3-00
-do- receipt 14-3-00

Forwarded to the Secy to
Govt- Home: Dept
with Pet. No 1787 for
disposal in continn of
previous correspondence
(P 907 & 15-3-00)

By order
(Sd) W. Wijesuriya
for Secy

Enc Nil

States that on 28-7-99 he submitted an appeal re the dispute about Inam land No 59 of Manangi Watte and a remainder on 6-3-00; but although nearly 9 months have elapsed since submitting his 1st appeal, no orders have been passed. Nine days have passed since he came to Colombo to submit his 2nd petn & to know what orders are passed in his case & he returns to his village tomorrow as he finds it difficult to procure meals here

Prays that an Enq.t may be sent to his village informing him of what has become of his petition

"Copy"

Reg: No
544.

Refer

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \text{Let } x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \text{ be the roots of } \\ \text{the equation } \end{array}\right\} \quad x^4 - 10x^3 + 35x^2 - 50x + 24 = 0$$

find the value of $\sum \frac{1}{x_1 x_2}$ and $\sum \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 x_3}$.

Solution: Here $a_0 = 1, a_1 = -10, a_2 = 35, a_3 = -50, a_4 = 24$

We have to find the value of $\sum \frac{1}{x_1 x_2}$ and $\sum \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 x_3}$.

$$\sum \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4}{x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4} = \frac{-a_1}{a_4} = \frac{10}{24} = \frac{5}{12}$$

$$\sum \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 x_3} = \frac{x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_4}{x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4} = \frac{a_2}{a_4} = \frac{35}{24}$$

Ref. No
543

23/10/80

Refer

3

4

5

Refer

Refer
8.5.01

1785 of 99 ನ ಅ ಸು ಪ್ರ ಅ ಪ
ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿ 23/3/00
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ
959 & 26/3/00.

Represented

Rec
10/5/01

Transferred to the Muzrai Branch for disposal, in cont. of per. Correspondence

14/5/01.

Rec'd
14/5/01

Refer
&
18/5/01

Date
File No. 1351 189 1900-1901
No. 2255

Name of Petitioner Kempaiya son Bodimaiya

Village Gulur

Taluk } Tumkur
District }

Date of Arzi 3-5-1901

Date of Receipt 3-5-1901

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

Reminder petition to the appeal dated 28.7.1899 and subsequent remind in the matter of putting the in possession of an Inam land transferred to the 2nd respondent Basappa.

The petitioner states that he appeared in person on the 15th and requested to be favoured with the information regarding his appeal petition, that he was

told that all his previous petitions ~~xxxx~~ had been transferred to the Muzrai department, that on Enquiry in that office he was told that the records had been called for and that as soon as they were received orders would be paped; that he waited in Bangalore Expecting orders from the 15th April to 3rd May 1901 but without effect.

Prays that orders may be issued to the Dy Commr to put the petitioner in possession of the land in dispute till disposal of his appeal & that 2nd respondent may be asked to keep in deposit the produce of the land for the last 2 years, till such time.

7/5/01

Encls:

Petn dd 3.5.01 on the same subject.

2265

2265R

3-5-1971

3-5-90

Early -

Why is this pending so ?

Judy H. V. N
4/11/01

Reference early.
19-4-01

43799 - 2 Abstr - 907 - 15-3-00
87 - Bl 959 - 26-3-00
Jdg
11

Genl Records.
Please put up
Memo. No 959 & 263 - 1900

R
7-11-01

1901 4480
~~480~~

No. 1439

Name of Petitioner Nanjiah, son of Bhairappa

Village Gulur

Taluk } Tumkur
District }

Date of Arzi 6-11-01

Date of Receipt 5-11-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

Reminder to this appeal petition dated 28-7-99 in re S.No 58 of Indwangi village, Tumkur Taluk.

Asst
18-11-01

Memo No 959 & 26/3/00 was put up with Petition No 2255 & 3/5/01 from Kempaiya of Gulur Tumkur Tq. as per entry in the slip kept by your branch.

RB. 19/11/01

19/11/01

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.

MYSORE DEMAND OFFICER.
PETITION DEPARTMENT
No. 14398
Date 5-11-1997

480
1439

Early.
What is the matter with
this case Ind/A.V.N
4/11/01

Reference early
19-11-01

S of 99-ಸಾಲಿನ-150-17-8-99
S. 225 of 00, ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ಇದೆ 14-5-01 ಲೆ ಬರೆದಿದೆ.

Transferred to Hevvur
branch in continuation
with pete 1439.

21.11.01

22/11/1901

1901-

481

No. 1440

Name of Petitioner Kempiah, son of Budumiah

Village Gulur

Taluk } Tumkur
District }

Date of Arzi 6-11-01

Date of Receipt 5-11-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his appeal petition dated 28-7-99 in re S. No 59 of Manange village, Tumkur Taluk.

18/11/01

MGCPR—23-3-01—3,000.

MYSORE DEWAN'S
PETITION DEPARTMENT
No. 14608
5-11-1907

480
1440

ನಂಬಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ಬೇಡಿಕೊಂಡರೆ ಯಾವ ರೋಗವೂ ಗುಣವಾಗದೆ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಉದಾಹರಣೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಒಂದು ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಮುದುಕಿ ಇದ್ದಳು. ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಬ್ಬ ಮಗ ಇದ್ದ. ಅವನಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅವನ ಹೆಂಡತಿ ಗರ್ಭಿಣಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದಳು. ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಹೆರಿಗೆ ನೋವು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಯಿತು. ಅವಳು ಹೆರಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಯುವಳೆಂದು ಅವಳ ಅತ್ತೆಗೆ ಅನಿಸಿತು. ಅವಳು ಸೊಸೆಯ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಹೋಗಿ ಅವಳ ಕಿವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೋ ಹೇಳಿದಳು. ಅವಳು ಹೆರಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಯುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಳು. ಅವಳು ಹೆರಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಯುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಯಿತು? ಅವಳು ಹೆರಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಯುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಯಿತು? ಅವಳು ಹೆರಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಯುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಯಿತು?

6—11—901

References

as.

21-5-02

39-

40 /Mol

-6-02

Transferred to the Mungari Department in Collectorate

on

26-6-02

28/6/02

2844/02

previous papers are with the manager

V.O

1902
1224

No. 3240

Name of Petitioner Kempaiya son of Budumaiya

Village Gopalur

Taluk Tumkur

District Do.

Date of Arzi 25 } 5-02

Date of Receipt 27 }

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

ABSTRACT

With reference to his appeal petn. of 28-7-99 regarding the Inam lands of the Math of Ramangi village, the petnr states

that his appeal is pending disposal for the last 3 years; that when he personally submitted 3 or 4 reminder petns, he was told that all his petns were forwarded to the Mungari Dept. for disposal and that no final decision

has been given as yet.

He, therefore, prays that as is prayed in his appeal petn, the lands unjustly given to the possession of the 2nd ~~appellant~~ Respondent (Basappa) may be placed in the possession of the appellant (petn.) and that the income of the lands from the time the lands were thus unjustly given to the possession of the 2nd Respondent, may be kept in reserve and afterwards be given to him in whose favour the appeal will be disposed of.

Hrs.
21/6/02

ਦੀ ਸਟੇਟ ਬੈਂਕ ਆਫ਼ ਇੰਡੀਆ ਦੀ ਬ੍ਰਾਂਚ ਦੇ ਮੈਨੇਜਰ ਨੂੰ ਅਦਾ ਕਰੋ

ਜਾਂ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਆਰਡਰ ਤੇ ਜਾਂ ਬੇਅਰਰ ਨੂੰ ਰੁਪਏ

ਅੱਖਰਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਰੁਪਏ

ਦੀ ਰਕਮ ਅਦਾ ਕਰੋ

ਤਾਰੀਖ਼ 27-5-1902

3240

Pay bearer

Date 27-5-1902

3240

STATE BANK OF INDIA

12-24

BRANCH

ਦੀ ਸਟੇਟ ਬੈਂਕ ਆਫ਼ ਇੰਡੀਆ ਦੀ ਬ੍ਰਾਂਚ ਦੇ ਮੈਨੇਜਰ ਨੂੰ ਅਦਾ ਕਰੋ

ਜਾਂ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਆਰਡਰ ਤੇ ਜਾਂ ਬੇਅਰਰ ਨੂੰ ਰੁਪਏ

ਅੱਖਰਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਰੁਪਏ

ਦੀ ਰਕਮ ਅਦਾ ਕਰੋ

ਤਾਰੀਖ਼ 27-5-1902

3240

Pay bearer

Date 27-5-1902

3240

STATE BANK OF INDIA

12-24

BRANCH

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

(9)

(9)

(10)

ಅಂಬಾ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ
ತಮ್ಮ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷೀಯ ಭಾಷಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆಂದರೆ
25 ——> 702 ? ಅಂಬಾ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

Reference

874

Date 30-6-03 1902-03
2158

No. 2770

Name of Petitioner Kempanna son of Budamanna and Village Nanjanna son of Bairappa Gulur.

Taluk Tumkur.

District Tumkur.

Date of Arzi 12-6-03.

Date of Receipt 16

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

ABSTRACT

Reminder to their petn. dated 28-7-99 regarding the lands S. Nos. 58-59-60 and 61 of Shenkamatt of Nanangi village, Tumkur, Taluk

The petrs. complain that although 4 years have nearly elapsed after they submitted their petn. and although they submitted 4 or 5 reminders

Transferred to the revenue department

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

Subsequently, the case is still pending disposal and that no endt. is granted by them in the matter.

Pray for early disposal of the case, and for issue of endt. by them

23/6/03

By post/hand
16-6-03

Date 30-6-03
Regd. No 2158
BAREILLY (U.P.) INDIA

16-6-03

12-9-0

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy Commr
Tumkur Distt

Dated

Despatched December 1903

Received

General No. ~~1836~~ 1836
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 16

Has the honour to forward herewith the marginally noted petitions from Kempiah and others regarding the Inam lands endowed to the Narasimhaji matt in the Tumkur Taluk, and to request that a brief and clear report may be submitted

(1) Sri Narasimhaji matt dated 2nd May'01
(1) " 1481 " 1st June'01
(1) " 1480 " 6th " "
(1) " 12224 " 25th May'02
(1) " 21558 " 12th June'02

6th March'00
14th "
8th Oct.'00

12

1836 1903

1 198 " 1255 1/4 " 1255 3/4 " 1254 1/2 " 1277 " 345 3/4 "

write on file once

Ref. Subject:-





Regards

24/12/13

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat
Dated 24-6-1884

19

Enclosures

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat
Petition Department
Rec'd No 2885 R
Date 27-6-1904

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Department
Petition Department
Rec'd No 2885 R
Date 24-6-1904

5 September 1904

18

Sel Acknowledgment.

(To be sent to office of posting for delivery to sender.)

Space for postage stamp.

No _____ addressed to—

(Name) _____

State of addressee _____

Dated _____ 1899.

Here enter 'registered article' or 'parcel,' as the case may be.

ರಸೀತಿ. No. 21

_____ ಪೋಸ್ಟಾಪೀಸಿನ ಬಳಿ

_____ ಯವರಿಗೆ

ವಿಳಾಸೆಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ವಂದು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ ಅದದು ಪಿಂದಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಯಿರುತ್ತೆ.

ಪವ್ವಾಲಾಫೀಸು

TUMKUR 29 JUL 99

ತಾರೀಖು ಮುದ್ರೆ.

_____

ವಿಲೇಜ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್‌ಮಾರ್ಸ್

On Postal Service

18 JUL TUMKUR

TUMKUR 29 JUL 99

25 JUL BANGALORE CITY

stamp of office of posting

TUMKUR

ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ

ವಿಲೇಜ ಪೋಲ್ಯಮೇರ್‌ಗಳು ಮನಿಆರ್ಡರಗಳು ಕಳುಹಿಸುವದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಜನಗಳಿಂದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪಡಿಯಕೂಡದೆಂದು ಕಾಢಾಂಗವಾಗಿ ನಿರ್ಬಂಧಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವಾರೆ ವಿಲೇಜ ಪೋಸ್ಟ ಸೇವಕಗಳು ರವಾನೆಬಗ್ಗೆ ಭಾಂಗಿಗಳು ಸಹ ಪಡಿಯಕೂಡದು.

& P.-4

Acknowledgment.

Space for postage stamp.

(To be returned to office of posting for delivery to sender.)

RECEIVED R. L No. 84 addressed to—

(Name) Mr. Devram B Rajure

Signature of addressee

Date of delivery 16 7 03

Here enter "registered article" or "parcel," as the case may be.

On Postal Service.

Vanjiah son of
Byrappa
Gudur



Stamp of office of posting: TUMKUR

R. & P. 4

Acknowledgment.

(To be returned to office of posting for delivery to sender.)

Space for postage stamps.

RECEIVED a A L * No. 668 addressed to—

(Name) S/c Municipality Office

Signature of addressee [signature]

Date of delivery [illegible] 189

* Here enter "registered article" or "parcel," as the case may be.

No. 648

RECEIPT FOR SENDER.



If a parcel { Weight (in rates) when not insured } (In words)

{ Postage (including registration fee) } Rs | As.

To be filled up only in the case of Insured articles

Insured for Rs (in figures)

(In words)

Insurance fee Rs ____ *As* ____

(in words). { RATES / TOLAS

When the article is not to be insured the above should be crossed out by means of two diagonal lines

Initials of Receiving Officer.

Received a registered* addressed to

Post-town of destination

*Write here "letter," "postcard," "packet," "paid parcel" or "unpaid parcel," as the case may require, with the word "insured" before it if the article is to be insured.

[illegible] the Indian Post Office Act, 1898

2325
Mul

Mule
2-Gos

Memo

No. R 3 20[illegible]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

15-9-05

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government
in the Income Tax Department

By order

For Secretary

Dated the

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

By order

Secretary.

No. 2885

Name of Petitioner: Nanjaiah, Son of Byrabba

Village: Gulur

Taluk: Tumkur

District:

Date of Arzi: 23/6/04

Date of Receipt: 24/6/04

Date of Disposal:

No. of Enclosure: 5 Postal receipts

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
18

Transferred to Secretary to the Government, Mungroi department for disposal in continuation.

By order

Secretary

Submitted with a draft forwarding this and the petn no 2886-1652/19 to D.C. Tumkur, in contn, with a request to submit the report at an early

12/7/04

ABSTRACT

Reminder to the appeal petition submitted on 28/7/99 regarding the land S. No 58 of Mannadi village,

States that the respondent Muttanda Subbaiah died lately and prays that the eldest son Veerabba may be admitted as respondent, that notice be issued to the 1st respondent and 2nd respondent Basappa and the case disposed of

28/6/04

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

Reference

1904

No. 2886

Name of Petitioner Kaupeah Son of Badanna

Village Guloor

Taluk Gundlupet

District

Date of Arzi 23-6-1904

Date of Receipt 24-6-1904

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 5 Postal receipts

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
19
PERSONAL SECRETARIAT

P. Pet 1652 En-6

Transferred to the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department for disposal in continuation -

for Secretary

ABSTRACT

Reminder to this appeal petition submitted on 28-7-99 regarding the Service Inam land Sy No 59 of Mahuregge village, belonging to Ramanka Mutta, which was purchased by the petitioners father from Mutta the Budna States Orders regd to the said petition and to that subsequently submitted, not received, that Orders

Respondent deceased and
his eldest Son Veerappa
may be admitted as Respondent.
Prays that notice be ordered
to be issued to the said
Veerappa and his brother
2nd Respondent Basappa
and that equitable order
be passed.
Further States that he has
affixed to this petition 2 Rs
Stamps for the purpose
thereof. Speedy disposal of this case.

Yes
28/6/06

MUZRAI

1904—1905

From The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, Muz: Deptt

To The Dy Commissioner Tumkur Dt

Dated

Despatched 16th July 1904

Received

General No. 300, 301 & 302
Muzrai F. No. 59-01.

Enclosure No. 2 Petns & 10 Postal Receipts

Has the honor to forward herewith the marginally noted petitions from Krupiah and Nanjiah of Gyatur, Tumkur Taluk regarding the Inam lands enjoyed to the Dharmada Matt at Mamagi, Tumkur Taluk and to request that the report called for in this office No. 1836 dated 26th December 1903 may be submitted at a very early date.

No. 18 d: 23.6.04
19 d: 23.6.04

By order
16/7/04
Secretary
Muz: Deptt

Offr
15/7/04

MGCPB—2,000—9-6-02

852

Ds
30/8/04

Refers.

4/1/05 -

1904-05

From
K. Doraswami Iyer Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To L. Anantaramu Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maha
Rajah of Mysore, Bangalore

Dated 24 to
Despatched 29 th
Received
General No. 140
No.

Department
August 1904

Enclosure No. 15 petitions with Encls: & 1 file

In reply to the
Deputy Secretary's docket
No: 1836 dated 24th December
1903, forwarding, for a
brief and clear report,
petitions presented by
Kempiah and others
appealing against the
endorsements issued
to them from this office
re the restoration of
Inam lands to Dhumaka
Devaru Matt at Maruvu,
village, Tumkur Taluk,
which had been alienated
to the appellants, the

under signed hereto Date as follows:—

2 The Dhammika Devarmellatte situated at Allamanje village Gintar Korle, Seruketer Talukè was Endowed in 1812 by New Kate Nighness the Maha Rajah Kirtisinge Wadiar the Maha Rajah Krishnarajè Wadiar Bahadur, with an extent of Land yielding 6 Pagodas, the Jodi Paja -ke thereon being 13 Hramas. of the Survey settlement, the same measuring 106 acres and 10 Puttus in all, now divided into four L. Nos. 58, 59, 60 and 61 of the Allamanje village, bearing an aggregate assessment of Rupees 78-a-. But during the Inam settlement, L. Nos 58-60 & 61 and portion of L. No 59 i.e, A Cy. 18- 6. assessed at Rupees 16-4- were treated as Khalsa and the Remaining Extent alone, viz A Cy. 8-19 (assessed at Rupees 6-12-a) part of L. No: 59 was confirmed as Inam to the Matt.

		Extent	Assessment
L. No. 58		16-36-	12-a-.
" 59		26-25	23-a-.
" 60		33-10	23-a-.
" 61		29-19-	20-a-.
		106-10	78-a-.
			6-12-.

3. The management of the Matt was in the hands of one Rudriah for prior to and some time after the

recognition of the present Basavadevaru as Pattadar of the Matt. While managing the affairs of the Matt, Rudraiah sold S. No. 58 measuring A G 16-36 and assessed at Rupees 12/- in January 1882 to one Nanjappa of Gulur for Rupees 100/- and a portion of the Khalsat out of S. No. 59 viz. A G 13-4. assessed at Rupees 12-12-0 to another Budaniah of Gulur for Rupees 150/- in January 1883 and the remaining Khalsat out of the same S. No 59 viz. A G 5-2 assessed at Rupees 3-8-0 to a third man Ranjak for Rupees 70/-

4. At the revision of the Inam settlement in 1889 land assessed at Rupees 18-12-0 out of S. No 59 was confirmed as Inam, the remainder bearing an assessment of Rupees 44-, being finally brought under Khalsat.

5. When the papers relating to the alienated portions viz: A G 13-4. and A G 5-2 out of S. No. 59, which, during the revision, constituted

the Inam of the Matt, came up in 1890 before Mr. C. Gross, the then Deputy Commissioner, he ordered that the Aliences should give back to the Matt, land assessed at Rs. 12-a-a and receive proportionate value of the purchase money from the Agnt Rudriah.

6. On appeal, preferred by Budumiah against the above order in July 1890 the Government decided on 26th June 1891 that the sale could not be Cancelled as the land sold by Rudriah was not an Inam at the time of sale, and that out of the total Inam assessed at Rs: 18-12-0 as revised, the Inam of the value of Rupees 6-12-0 should be located in S. No. 59 and the rest in S. No 60 or 61 or both and that the portions sold to Budumiah and Ranjiah treated as patta lands. Orders issued accordingly to the Amildar of the Taluk.

7. In the year 1892, the Agent Rudriah, petitioned the Government, praying that the excess found in the Inam might be confirmed to the Matt, and Government in their Order No. 21529-30 / OF 116-92 dated 1st June 1894 Confirmed to the Matt all the S. Nos. 58, 59, 60 and 61 on a quitrent of Rupees 3-12-0 Necessary alterations were then made in the accounts as per revised Tarav Patrika furnished to this office by the Survey Superintendent under the Government order quoted above.

8. The Pattada Basavadeva of the Matt represented to this office on 5th September 1895, that the alienation of the Inam by the Agent (Rudriah) was untenable and prayed that, as the Government have Confirmed all the lands (59, 58, 60 and 61) as Inam, the alienated lands might be resumed

and restored to the Matt.

9. After some correspondence on the subject notice was served on the aliences under clause 6 Rule 2 of Notification No. 266 dated 17th November 1877, requiring them to restore the lands to the Matt. They having failed to do so, the lands were summarily resumed and restored to the Matt under instructions from the Superintendent of Duars Settlements.

10. The file of vernacular correspondence relating to the subject is forwarded and the enclosures received with the docket under reply and those with docket No. 300 C Uroz: F No 59-01 dated 16 instant are herewith returned

for Deputy Commissioner

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To The Secretary to Government,
Rev: and Genl: Departts,

Dated Bangalore.
Despatched 11th Nov 04.
Received

General No. 1662
Muzrai F. No. 59-01.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request that ~~to forward~~ the entire correspondence ending with Govt decision dd 26th June 1891 regarding the main lands endowed to the Shanaka Devaru matt at Maudagi village in the Tumkur Taluk ~~which is said to have been put up for Petn: no 2109 of 1895~~. May kindly be forwarded to this Office ~~for ref. at an early date~~ as the same is required in reference in connection with Dy Comrs letter on the subject.

B.S.
10/11/04
Secretary

9/11/04

M.G.C.P.B.—1,000—5-10-04.

2748

From

The Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Dated
Despatched 20 January 1905
Received
General No. R 6337.
No.

Inward No

His letter No. 1662/59-01 dated the 11th November 1904 requesting that the entire correspondence ending with Govt. decision dated the 26th June 1891 regarding the inam lands endowed to Dhananka Devaroo Mutt at Manangi village, Tumkur Taluk may be forwarded for reference.

Has the honor to request that the No. and date of the Proceedings referred to in the above letter may be kindly quoted in order to enable this office to trace the required references.

By order,

For Secretary.

MUZRAI

1901

From The Secy: Muzrai Dept

To The Secretary to Govt
Rev & Genl: Department,

Dated 26th January 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 2702
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

His no R 6337 Dt 20th January 1905 requesting to be informed of the no and Date of the Pro: ref to by this Office in Docket no 1662 Muz: 59-04 Dt 11th November 1904 (re the inam lands endowed to the Shanarka Devaru Matt at Manangi in the Tumkur Taluk)

—"—

Has the honor to State that the Govt Decision Dt 26th June 1891 was passed on Appeal no 725 Dt 10th July 1890 (Appellant-Rudrappa & Respondent-Basavadevaru)

B.O.

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

Dft Sd. L. Ananta Rau
for Secretary

He

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3230
SECRETARIAT

Rupees
Rs
28/2/05

From

P. Venkata Varada Iyengar Esq
Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, in the Mujrai Department.

Dated

Despatched 25th February 1905.

Received

General No. R. 7641

No. R. 405-04-4

Enclosure No.

With reference to correspondence ending with his letter No.2702-59-01 dated the 26th.January 1905, requesting that the papers relating to the Inam lands endowed to Dhanaka Devaru Mutt at Manangi village, may be forwarded for reference, has the honor to state that the papers are not traceable in the records of this office.

By order,

Venkatasubbaiah

For Secretary

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department

To

The Dy Commr
Tumkur Dt

Dated 11th March 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 3392
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

His Docket No 140 dy 29th August 1904, reporting on the appeal petn of Kempiah & others, regarding the restoration of the inam Cantt & Dhanwantha Devaru Matt at Manavagu in the Tumkur Taluk, which had been alienated & them

---

Adverting to para 6 of the Docket cited above, has the honor to state that the

M.G.P.P.—1,000-5-10-05.

records relating to the issue of Govt decision of 26th June 1891 referred to, are stated to be not traceable in the General & Revenue Secretariat Records.

2. The Dy Commr is requested to forward early a copy of the decision of 26th June 1891 & connected records, ~~if any~~, to enable Govt to pass orders on the appeal of Kempiah.

The enclosures to the docket under reply are herewith returned for reference & return.

By order

9/2/05

Dft-

Legs Mems Depty

Ds

7/3/05

2 D

Muz. 4]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 1959 Encl

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 1273

dated 16:8:1905 (15:9) received at this Office from Kempiah, of Gulur, Tumkur taluk relating to the Inam lands endowed to Bhiananka Devaru Mutt at Manangi Village, Tumkur taluk

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District for submission of the entire records connected with the case together with a detailed report ~~thereon~~ already called for on the subject in this office docket no. 3392 of 11th March 1905.

By Order,

Dft)

BANGALORE,
30 10 1905.

Secretary,
Muzrai Department

No. 1960.
Sent to the petr.
20/10/05

Early

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 5985 Bangalore

The accompanying Petition No. 5324

dated 20-6-06 received at this
Office from Kempiah of Gulur,
Tumkur Tk relating to the
Inam lands endowed to
Dhanauka Dwara mutt at
Manangi village, Tumkur Tk.

---

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Dy: Comr. of the
Tumkur Dt. for ~~dio~~ report
in continuation of this Offic
No. 1959 Dated 30-10-1903

By Order,

dft 30/6/06

Secretary,
Muzrai Departments

BANGALORE,
19

No. 5985 / 30.6.06.

Case to be put up
30/6/06

ಕಾಲಿಕ ಸಂಪಾದಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕರು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, 1867 (ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 25/1867) ರ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 19 ಡಿ ಯ ಉಪಬಂಧಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾದ ಮಾಲೀಕತ್ವ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ವಿವರಗಳು

೧. ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯ ಸ್ಥಳ — ಕಾವೇರಿ ಮುಖ್ಯರಸ್ತೆ, ಕಾವೇರಿಪುರ

೨. ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯ ಅವಧಿ — ಪಾಕ್ಷಿಕ

೩. ಮುದ್ರಕರ ಹೆಸರು — ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಾಮತ್

ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯತೆ — ಭಾರತೀಯ

ವಿಳಾಸ — ಕಾವೇರಿ ಮುಖ್ಯರಸ್ತೆ, ಕಾವೇರಿಪುರ

೪. ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕರ ಹೆಸರು — ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಾಮತ್

ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯತೆ — ಭಾರತೀಯ

ವಿಳಾಸ — ಕಾವೇರಿ ಮುಖ್ಯರಸ್ತೆ, ಕಾವೇರಿಪುರ

೫. ಸಂಪಾದಕರ ಹೆಸರು — ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಾಮತ್

ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯತೆ — ಭಾರತೀಯ

ವಿಳಾಸ — ಕಾವೇರಿ ಮುಖ್ಯರಸ್ತೆ, ಕಾವೇರಿಪುರ

೬. ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಒಟ್ಟು ಬಂಡವಾಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ ಒಂದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಪಾಲು ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಪಾಲುದಾರರ ಹೆಸರುಗಳು

ಕಾವೇರಿ ಪ್ರಕಾಶನ ಸಹಕಾರಿ ಸಂಘ ನಿಯಮಿತ, ಕಾವೇರಿಪುರ

ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ನಾನು ಘೋಷಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಮೇಲೆ ನೀಡಿರುವ ವಿವರಗಳು ನನ್ನ ಅರಿವು ಮತ್ತು ನಂಬಿಕೆಗೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಸತ್ಯವಾಗಿವೆ.

ಸಹಿ/-

(ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಾಮತ್)

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 19-04-2026

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಕಾವೇರಿಪುರ

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ,

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು,
ಕಾರವಾರ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ,
ಕಾರವಾರ.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಕಾರವಾರ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಕಡವಾಡ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 87/2 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು 8 ಎಕರೆ 12 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಆಗಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪೈಕಿ ಸುಮಾರು 3 ಎಕರೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳೆದ 12 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಅಡಿಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ತೆಂಗಿನ ತೋಟವನ್ನು ಸ್ವಂತ ಖರ್ಚಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಪಟ್ಟಾ ಅಥವಾ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿ ಪತ್ರ ನನ್ನ ಬಳಿ ಇಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ, ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳ ಲಾಭ ಪಡೆಯಲು ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಸ್ಥಳ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ನಡೆಸಿ, ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಪಟ್ಟಾ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಗತ್ಯ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲು ನಾನು ಸಿದ್ಧನಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,
ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಹನುಮಂತ ಗೌಡ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 9-8-2016

ref
R-
15/8/06 No. 434/1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
Dated Mysore 14th August 1906.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore, for submission of papers in the case.

No. 259 of 06-07

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

Mnz. 1 4]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1905--1906

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Travancore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy. Commissioner,
Tinnevelly district

Dated 27th
Despatched August '06.
Received

General No. 1350
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 5 & 2

This office docket No. 3392/05 dt. 11th March 1905, requesting the submission of certain records relating to the restoration of the inam lands to Dhanalka Devaru Matt at Nanangi in the Tinnevelly Taluk and subsequent reminders marginally noted on the same subject

1959/05 dt. 30th Oct. 1905.
985/06 dt. 30th June 1906

GPB—3,000—21-7-06

See continuation of the above, has the honour to forward herewith a copy of the memorial Regd. No 259/902 d/9th August 06 addressed to H.H. the Maharaja by Rempiah of Gulur, praying for the early disposal of the case and to request that as a report has to be submitted to His Highness, ~~has been called for the submission of papers in the matter, the report already called for and the records bearing on the subject be submitted within a week of the receipt of this Communication.~~ with. Dy Comr upon the case and submit ~~a report~~ the records at a very early date upon the receipt of this communication.

By order,

Sd) 23/8/06
Secretary.

1351

Encl. 6 Rlds

21/8/06

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

190

From

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Dep. Commissioner,
Tumkur District

Dated 5th
Despatched
Received September

Enclosures No. one

General No. 1543
M. No.

This Office No. 3392 dated 11th March 1905, requesting the submission of papers re the inam land endowed to Dhanantha Mattah Manayi, Tumkur Taluk and subsequent reminder marginally noted

1350 dated 27th 1906

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and ~~to request to be informed as to what action has been taken in the matter and when a reply may be expected.~~ in continuation of the above for urgent early report Rev. No. 1218 & 27th Aug 06 from Manjarabad, for the early disposal of the Case 1544

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

5/9/06

Rec'd. Tokens. Rs 4/9/06

Reply to Mr 8/10/06

1681

Official Number 112195 dated 30th June
920.5985 dated 30th June 1906
Do
Doeltaverne 1350 dated 27th August 1906

Re
Grant of a moiety
R/
5/10/06

Advise note
Subsidy orders
M 8/10/06.

From
Master Mariners
Local Examining
Board
Tonkin Limit

To
Secretary to the Minister
Mercantile Marine

Dated 22nd
Despatched
Received
General No. 319
No.

Enclosure No. 2 in 2 files

With Docket No. 53/12
dated the 11th June 1906
and subsequent letters
marginally noted.

I do called for in the above numbered minutes have the honour to forward certified Copy of Government decision of the 26 June 1891 in the matter of restoring the Crown

lands belonging to the Dharmaka-
devara Mutt at Marangi in the Tumkur
Taluk which had been alienated to
Hempiah and others, together with
two files of vernacular records bearing
on the subject and to state that the
matter has been fully reported upon
in this office docket No 140 dated the
29th August 1904.

H. C. Srinivasamurthy
For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

Before The Government of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No 125 of 10th July 1890

Appellant — Budumanja of Goolur, H. Sumbur Taluk, represented by Mr Advocate L. Sreenivasa-Iyengar

Respondent — Basavadevar, advocated by Pleader Mr: Narasimhachr

Decision dated 26th June 1891

Nos 58, 59, 60 and 61 were originally an Inam granted to the Respondent Matt. During The Inam Settlement, Nos: 58, 60 and 61 were treated as excess and No: 59 was treated partly as Inam and partly as exc as shewn below.

	Acres	Amount
Inam	8. 19	6 — 12 —
Excess	18. 6	16 — 4 —
Total	26. 25	23 — 0 —

In 1883, the Respondents father who was the then manager of the Matt sold 13.4 acres out of the excess in No: 59 to Appellant and 5.2 to another man named Rangaiya.

Subsequently the Inam Settlement was revised in 1889, when land assessed at Rs. 18-12-- in No: 59 was treated as Inam and the remainder only, bearing an assessment of Rs. 4-4-- was treated as excess.

Appellant then Complained that as a portion of the land sold to Respondents father was Matt Inam, the sale was illegal and the Deputy Commissioner ordered in April 1890 that Respondent and Rangaiya should give back land assessed at Rs. 12/- after receiving refund of purchase money from appellant.

The appeal is against this order.

The Government Consider that as the land purchased by petitioner

-and Rangaya was not Inam when it was sold and has been treated as Inam subsequently, it will be hard to cancel the sale on the ground that the land sold was Inam. The Deputy Comm will therefore be requested assign an extent equal to the portion of No: 59 treated as Inam subsequent to the purchase, in No: 60 or 61, which are still in the of Respondent, and treat the purchased by appellant and Rangaiya as Putta land. The of this decision is, that of the Inam assessed at Rs. 18-12-, of the value of Rs 6-12- will be in No: 59, and the rest in No: 60 61 or both.

"By Order"

(Signed) A.

Under Secretary
Mysore Dewan's
Bangalore, 9th July

Copy

1891-92 No: 276 / 18/7/91

No: 4271 / P. 56 11/7/91

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Dumka District, with the vernacular papers received with his No: 576 dated 21st January 1891.

"By order"

(Signed) A. Srinivasacharlu
Under Secretary.

"Copy"

M. Srinivasulu
for Deputy Commissioner.

Registered submit-

19/10/06

Served in Court

22.10.06

ـــــــ

(ರ ಶ್ರೀ ದು-)

ನಂಬರ್ 84

_____ ಹೋಬ್ಳಿ ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ

_____ ವಿಚಾರವಿರುವ

_____ ಸಲಿಕೆಯೂಗವ

ಒಂದು _____ ಜೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿತು.

ಮೇಣ ಆರ್ಡರು ಆದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ-

ಕೆಳಗೆ ವಿವರಿಸಿದಹೀಂಗೆ (ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ) _____

ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಹಿತ :—

ಕೈಯ (ಪೊಲಗು) ... ರೂ. ಆ.

ಕಮ್ಮಿಷನ್ ... ...

ಭೀಮಮೋಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಅದು ಆದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ-

(ಅಂಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ) _____

(ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ) _____

_____ ದೊಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಭೀಮಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಇದೆ

ತಕ್ಕ ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ { ಲೇಟುಗಳಾ (ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ) _____

{ ತೊಲೆಗಳು (ಭಾಂಗಿಗಳಿಗೆ) _____

ಭೀಮಮೋಡಲ್ಪಡದೆ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿ) ಭಾಂಗಿ ಆದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ-

ತೊಲೆಗಳಾಗಿ ತಕ್ಕ _____

ಪ್ರಿಂಟಾಪಿಸಿನ

26-3-1907

ಸಬ್‌ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

1906-1907 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ೪೪ ನಂಬರು

ಮಾದಿ ಮೃತಿವಾದಿ

ಮು॥ರಾ॥ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ ಅಂಡ್ ಕಂಪನಿ ವರಿಗೆ.

ಸಬ್ ಪೈ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ನಿಮ್ಮ ರವಸ್ಥೆಗಾಗಿ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನವರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ ಆರ್ಜಿವಗ್ಯೆರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರಿವೋಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕುವೋಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಂಡ ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ನಾಪನು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂವು ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂವುಸಹಾ ಪಡದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತುನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತ್ತು ವಿಸಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತ್ರೋಜು ವಗ್ಯೆರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ: ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟುಯಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದಕಿಂದ ಬದ್ದನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು } ರುಜು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ ಅಂಡ್ ಕಂಪನಿ

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷನು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರಟಫ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಯಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ

S. Narasimha Murthy

K. Ramaswamy Iyer

ಈ ಫರು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಕಿಟ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಚೆನ್ನಪ್ಪಕಟ್ಟಿಯವರಲ್ಲಿ ದೊರಿಯುತ್ತೆ.

29.12.06

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

NO. R/4 1/2

3134

Submit in courts

4/1/07

The accompanying Petition No. 3567 dated 19th December 1906 received at the Secretariat ~~Office~~ from one Kempaiya of Gulur in the Tumkur Taluk re certain Inam lands of the Manañji village of the said Taluk.is forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department

~~is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the..... for disposal.~~

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

190

GPB—2,000—5 5 06

5567

New

Bangalore Post
22 9 06
A.V. Venkar
Revenue

ನಂ. ೦೪೮-೪೧53

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ - ಪ್ರಿಯರೇ - ನಿಮಗಾಗಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾಗಲಿ

ಆಕ್ಟ್ ೧೯೦೬ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವಂತೆ ನಿಮಗೆ 15 ದಿನ ನಿಮಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ -

ಈ ಮೇಲಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನೀವು ನಡೆಯದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು

ಭಾವಿಸಿ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ೧೯೫೬ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವಂತೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು. ಆಗಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮಗೆ

ಯಾವುದೇ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಲಿ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗಲಿ ಆದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾವು ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರರಲ್ಲ. ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ನಿಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ -

ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ - ೬-೭-೦೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ 384 ನೋಟೀಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ - ಆದ

ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ - ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕೆಲವರು ಮಾತ್ರ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ

ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ - ೧೦-೭-೦೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ

ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ - ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ -

ಈ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂ. ——— ಗೆ ಬೆಳಗಾವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ -

ನಂ. 15/

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಚಾಲಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ -

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು 24/10/06.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

Muz. 25]

ನಂ. 4507/14-6

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ

ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಆಮಿಲರಿಗೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 14/3/14

Secretary Muzrai

Delhi

14/3/14

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Muz. 25]

ನಂ. 4567

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ

ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಿಲರಿಗೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 14/3/07
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಅವರಿಗೆ

ನಂ. 4373.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 22/3/07

GPB—1,000—21-6-06

16.3.07

20/3/07

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕಡತಗಳನ್ನು
ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದಾಗ ಇವರು ಅರ್ಹರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ

ಕಾರಣ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳಿಂದ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವ
ಸದಸ್ಯರು ದ್ವಿತೀಯ ಪಿಯುಸಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ
ಅರ್ಹತೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಕಾರಣ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳಿಂದ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವ
ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸದರಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾವಾರು
ಅರ್ಹತೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ
ಒಬ್ಬ ಪೋಷಕರು ಅಥವಾ ಪೋಷಕರ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕರು
26 ನೇ ಜನವರಿ 2012 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10.30
ಗಂಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಸಮಿತಿ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು
ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ
ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

By order,

Secretary.

[illegible]

ನೈರುತ್ಯ ರೈಲ್ವೆ

ಕಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಲಾಧಾರಿತ ಶಕ್ತಿಯಿಂದ ಚಾಲಿತವಾಗುವ ರೈಲುಗಳನ್ನು
ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ೬ ರಿಂದ ಸಂಜೆ ೬ ರವರೆಗೆ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು

ಕಬ್ಬಿಣ ಮತ್ತು ಉಕ್ಕಿನ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಲಿನ ಕೊರತೆಯಿಂದಾಗಿ
ಅನಿವಾರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಕಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಲಾಧಾರಿತ ಶಕ್ತಿಯಿಂದ ಚಾಲಿತವಾಗುವ ರೈಲುಗಳ
ಸಂಚಾರವನ್ನು ಸೀಮಿತಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರ ಸಹಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಅಂಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಸಹಿ/-

Read :—

Memorial Regd

no 259/902 dated 9th

August 1906 — submitted

to His Highness the Maha-

-raja by Kumpini, son

of Pandumaiya of Gulur,

Tumkur Taluk, complaining

against the orders of

the Dy Comr of the

Tumkur District directing

the restoration of certain

Inam lands belonging

to the Dharmakartharu

matt at Marasingi

purchased by him.

Docket no 319 dated

22nd

September 1906 from
the Dy Commissioner,
Lunkaran District, reporting
on the above.

Order:—

The facts of the
case are as follows:—

The Dhanukarans
matt at Mánsangi in
the Lunkaran Taluk was
in the enjoyment of a
piece of land granted
to it in 1812 by His Highness
the late Maharaja
Krishnaraja Wadeyar
Bahadur III, subject
to the payment of a quit
of 13 Fanams. At the
time of the Inam Settlement-

in

in 1872 the Inam was
confirmed in the matt
on a quitrent of Rs 3-12--
the question of dealing
with the excess discovered
in the Inam lands
being left for settlement
by the Survey Dept.
During the Survey the
lands were made into
four Survey numbers.
58, 59, 60 and 61,
measuring 106 acres
10 guntas, of which
are 59, measuring 26 Acres
25 guntas, was treated
partly as Inam and
partly as excess, the
other

other 3 nos S. 8, 60, 61
being brought under
the board khulsat and
charged with full assessment.

2. One Ramayya,
who was managing
the affairs of the mutt,
thereupon sold S. no
S. 8 in 1882 to one Nanjappa
for Rs 150, and the
khulsat proceedings of
the S. no S. 9 in 1883 to
one Bandamayya and
Ramayya for Rs 150
and Rs 70 respectively.
Subsequently the Inam
Settlement was revised
in 1889, when a change
was effected in the
case

Case of S. No 59, which
the result that
~~is~~ land assessed at
Rs 18-12-0 in the said
number
~~one~~ was limited as from
and the remainder, having
an assessment of Rs
4-4-0, was limited
as above. The papers
relating to the alienation
of S. No 59 having
come up before the
Dy Comr (one of these cases)
that officer
in 1890, ~~he~~ ordered
that the alienee
Bandaminah & Ramyah
should give back the
land assessed at
Rs 12 after receiving

refused to the purchase
money from the appellant-
Basawanderao, who
was the priest of the
matt. Bandumiah then
appealed to Government
against the order of
the Dy Comrs. The appeal
was heard by 2 members
of Council and it
was decided on the
18th July 1891, that as
the land purchased by
Bandumiah and Ramjiah
"was not known when
it was sold, but had
been treated as known
subsequently, it would
be bound to cancel the

sale on the ground
that the land sold was
known". The Dy Comrs
was therefore requested
to assign an extent
equal to the portion
of 3 roo 5 q limited
as known subsequent
to the purchase, in 3
roo 60 or 61, which were
still in the possession
of the Respondent
and treat the land
purchased by the Appellant
and Ramajah as
Patta land".

3. While the matter
stood thus an appeal
was preferred to Govt

by the Agent of the
Matt (Rudraiya) against-
the Inam Settlement,
claiming that under
Rule XI clause A of
the Inam Rules, the
excess discovered in
the Inam lands should
be confirmed to the
Matt on the original
grant. The appeal was
decided on the Govt.
Inam No 215-29-30 dated
P. Jr. 116-92
the 1st June 1894 and
it was to the effect that
lands
that the originally
granted were within
the Linganmakki Sima,
that it was King that

that were made into
4 S. Nos 58-59-60 & 61
measuring 106 acres
10 guntas, and that
the matter was therefore
entitled to all those
Nos on the Inam
Settlement of Rs 3-12-4.
The Inam Settlement
was ordered to be
revised accordingly
and the Dy Comr
was directed to settle
the matter's Land Revenue
assessment with Govt
in accordance with
the above order -
4. Thereupon Pattadar
Basavandappa of the

Mutt represented to
the Dy Comr in 1895 that
the alienation of the
Inam by the Agent
Rudrangiya was unlawful
and prayed that, as
the Govt had confirmed
all the lands to the
Mutt as Inam, the
alienated lands might
be resumed and restored
to the Mutt. The Dy
Comr issued a notice
on the alienees under
Clause B Rule II of
the Alienation Rules
of 1877, requiring them
to restore the lands to
the Mutt As they
failed

to do so, the lands were
summarily resumed
in 1899 and restored
to the mutt. The present
appeal is against this
order

5. The lands were
sold to the appellants
or their predecessors
in title in 1882 by
Rudrappa, the then Agni
of the mutt, who is also
the father of the present
respondent-Basava-
-chetty, the Pattadaya
or head of the mutt. At
the time of the sale
the lands in question
stood

had been limited as
excess and subjected
to full assessment,
though, as regards
S. no 59, the excess and
house were included
in the same survey number But
~~The Court are of opinion~~
the more fact that
~~that though~~ full assessment
was charged on a portion
of the survey number
could not deprive that
portion of its character
of Devadaya Inam land
and render it alienable,
~~because the land still~~
remained Devadaya
~~Inam and was inalienable~~

The Court order of 1891
no doubt upheld

the alienations on the ground that the land was not known when it was sold, but that land was treated as known subsequently; but the subsequent decision of the Court dated 1894 in which all the lands in question are held to be Boundary and attached to the Matt, must be held to have cancelled or overruled the order referred to above. of 1891. In accordance with this final decision the Dy Comr got the possession transferred to the Matt in the year

14.

1899. The lands are thus
in the possession of
the rightful owners
and their possession cannot
~~[illegible]~~ be disturbed.

The Government can
therefore give no relief
to the appellants, and
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~

(H. H's order) 16/7/07

Print 16/7/07

12/6/07

The Dy Comr Tumkur
to return the records
~~[illegible]~~
Send to the appellants

READ—

Memorial Registered No. 259—902, dated 9th August 1906, submitted to His Highness the Maharaja by Kempiah, son of Budamaiah of Gulur, Tumkur Taluk, complaining against the orders of the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District, directing the restoration of certain Inam lands belonging to the Dhanankadevaru Matt at Manangi, purchased by him.

Docket No. 319, dated 22nd September 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the above.

No. 364—MUZ. F. 59 OF 1901, DATED BANGALORE, 24TH JULY 1907.

ORDER THEREON.—The facts of the case are as follows :—

The Dhanankadevaru Matt at Manangi in the Tumkur Taluk was in the enjoyment of a piece of land granted to it in 1812 by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishna Raja Wadiyar Bahadur III, subject to the payment of a jodi of 13 fanams. At the time of the Inam Settlement in 1872, the Inam was confirmed to the Matt on a quit-rent of Rs. 3-12-0, the question of dealing with the excess discovered in the Inam lands being left for settlement by the Survey Department. During the Survey, the lands were made into four Survey Nos. 58, 59, 60 and 61, measuring 106 acres, 10 guntas, of which No. 59 measuring 26 acres, 25 guntas, was treated partly as Inam and partly as excess, the other three numbers 58, 60 and 61 being brought under the head Khalsat and charged with full assessment.

2. One Rudriah, who was managing the affairs of the Matt, thereupon sold Survey No. 58 in 1882 to one Nanjappa for Rs. 150 and the Khalsat portion of the Survey No. 59 in 1883 to one Budumaiya and Rangaiya for Rs. 150 and Rs. 70 respectively. Subsequently, the Inam Settlement was revised in 1889, when a change was effected in the case of Survey No. 59, with the result that land assessed at Rs. 18-12-0 in the said number was treated as Inam and the remainder bearing an assessment of Rs. 4-4-0 was treated as excess. The papers relating to the alienation of Survey No. 59 having come up before the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Cress) in 1890, that officer ordered that the alienees Budamiah and Rangiah should give back the land assessed at Rs. 12 after receiving refund of the purchase money from the appellant Basavadevaru, who was the priest of the Matt. Budamiah then appealed to Government against the order of the Deputy Commissioner. The appeal was heard by two members of Council and it was decided on the 18th July 1891, that as the land purchased by Budamiah and Rangiah "was not Inam when it was sold, but had been treated as Inam subsequently, it would be hard to cancel the sale on the ground that the land sold was Inam." The Deputy Commissioner was therefore requested "to assign an extent of land to the portion of Survey No. 59 treated as Inam subsequent to the purchase of Survey Nos. 60 and 61, which were still in the possession of the respondent, and treat the land purchased by the appellant and Rangiah as patta land."

3. While the matter stood thus, an appeal was preferred to Government by the Agent of the Matt (Rudriah) against the Inam Settlement, claiming that, under Rule XI, clause A, of the Inam Rules, the excess discovered in the Inam lands should be confirmed to the Matt on the original Jodi. The appeal was decided in Government Proceedings No. 21529-30—P. F. 116-92, dated the 1st June 1894, and it was to the effect that the lands originally granted were within the Lingamudre stones, that it was they that were made into four Survey Nos. 58, 59, 60 and 61 measuring 106 acres, 10 guntas and that the Matt was therefore entitled to all those numbers on the Inam quit-rent of Rs. 3-12-0. The Inam Settlement was ordered to be revised accordingly and the Deputy Commissioner was directed to settle the Matt's land revenue account with Government in accordance with the above order.

4. Thereupon Pattada Basavadevaru of the Matt represented to the Deputy Commissioner in 1895 that the alienation of the Inam by the Agent Rudriah was untenable, and prayed that as the Government had confirmed all the lands to the Matt as Inam, the alienated lands might be resumed and restored to the Matt. The

Deputy Commissioner served a notice on the alienees under clause B, Rule II of the Alienation Rules of 1877 requiring them to restore the lands to the Matt. As they failed to do so, the lands were summarily resumed in 1889 and restored to the Matt. The present appeal is against this order.

5. The lands were sold to the appellants or their predecessors in title in 1882 by Rudriah, the then Agent of the Matt, who is also the father of the present respondent Basavadevaru, the Pattadaiya or head of the Matt. At the time of the sale the lands in question had been treated as excess and subjected to full assessment, though, as regards Survey No. 59, the excess and Inam were included in the same Survey number. But the mere fact that full assessment was charged on a portion of the Survey number could not deprive that portion of its character of Devadaya Inam land and render it alienable. The Government Order of 1891 no doubt upheld the alienations on the ground that the land was not Inam when it was sold, but had been treated as Inam subsequently; but the subsequent decision of the Government, dated 1894, in which all the lands in question are held to be Devadaya and attached to the Matt, must be held to have cancelled or overruled the Order of 1891 referred to above. In accordance with this final decision the Deputy Commissioner got the possession transferred to the Matt in the year 1899. The lands are thus in the possession of the rightful owners and their possession cannot be disturbed. Government can therefore give no relief to the appellants.

Secy. to Govt., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, returning records.

Exd.—C. V.

1907-08

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 24th July 1907

No. 361
Muz. F. 59 of 1901

Passing orders on the memorial of Kempaiya of Gulur, complaining against the orders of the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District, directing the restoration of certain Inam lands belonging to the Dhananka Devaru Matt at Manangi, purchased by him.

G.P.B.—7-8-07—200

65
8.07

మీ నిరంతర శ్రీవిద్యోపాసకుల
పరమార్థ సాధనకై నిరంతర
పరిశ్రమలు ఫలించి

మీ మహిమల పరిమళాలు గుంటూరులోగల
బంధువులతో మీ అభిమానమును తెలియ-
జేయగా-

ఈ గుంటూరులోని మీ శిష్యులందరు సమావేశమై
సంవత్సరమునకు. రెండ్రోజులు ఉత్సవములు
నిర్వహించుటకు నిశ్చయించి 1959-60 సంవత్సరమున మీ
శిష్యులు మొదటి ఉత్సవమును మీ ఆశీస్సులతో
ఘనముగా జరిపించిరి. ఆ తరువాత ప్రతి సంవత్సరము
క్రమము తప్పకుండా ఉత్సవములు జరుపుకొనుచున్నారు.
ఈ ఉత్సవములలో మీ శిష్యులు మీ పాదపద్మములకు
పూజలు చేసి మీ ఆశీస్సులు పొందుచున్నారు.
ఈ ఉత్సవములు 1906 సంవత్సరము నుండి
1962 సంవత్సరము వరకు మీ పాదపద్మములకు
అంకితము చేయబడినవి. ఈ ఉత్సవములు
ఇంకను అనేక సంవత్సరములు
జరగవలసి ఉన్నవి ——

ವಿಷ್ಣುಸಂತೋಷಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಶ್ರೀವಿಷ್ಣುಕೃಪಾಮಂದಿರ

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿಗಳು—ಕೆಳಕಾಣಿಸಿದವರು

ಅಂಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿಕಳುಹಿಸಿದವರು—

ಅಂಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿಪಡೆದವರು—

ಸೇವೆಗಳಿಗೆಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆಯಾವುದೇಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಿದ್ದರೂಅವುಗಳನ್ನುಸಹಾ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ

ಸೇವೆಗಳಿಗೆಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆಯಾವುದೇಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಿದ್ದರೂಅವುಗಳನ್ನುಸಹಾ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿಗಳು—

ಅಂಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿಕಳುಹಿಸಿದವರು—

ಅಂಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿಪಡೆದವರು—

ಸೇವೆಗಳಿಗೆಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆಯಾವುದೇಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಿದ್ದರೂಅವುಗಳನ್ನುಸಹಾ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿಗಳು—

ಅಂಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿಕಳುಹಿಸಿದವರು—

ಅಂಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿಪಡೆದವರು—

ಸೇವೆಗಳಿಗೆಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆಯಾವುದೇಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಿದ್ದರೂಅವುಗಳನ್ನುಸಹಾ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿಗಳು—

ಅಂಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿಕಳುಹಿಸಿದವರು—

ಅಂಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿಪಡೆದವರು—

ಸೇವೆಗಳಿಗೆಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆಯಾವುದೇಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಿದ್ದರೂಅವುಗಳನ್ನುಸಹಾ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿಗಳು—

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಂದಾಯ/ಭೂಸ್ವಾ/೧೨೪/೨೦೦೭-೦೮ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೨-೪-೨೦೦೭

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಭೂಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ, ೧೯೬೪ರ ಕಲಂ ೪(೧) ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಂದಾಯ/ಭೂಸ್ವಾ/೨೨೭/೨೦೦೬-೦೭ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೮-೩-೨೦೦೭ರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾದ ಅಧಿಸೂಚಿತ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿನ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಭೂಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ, ೧೯೬೪ರ ಕಲಂ ೪(೧) ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉದ್ದೇಶಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಅಧಿಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರುಗಳು — ಹೊಸಕೋಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು, ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ, ಬೆಳ್ಳಂದೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮ: ೪೫, ೪೬/೧, ೪೬/೨, ೪೭, ೪೮/೧, ೪೮/೨, ೪೯, ೫೦, ೫೧, ೫೨, ೫೩, ೫೪, ೫೫, ೫೬, ೫೭, ೫೮, ೫೯, ೬೦, ೬೧, ೬೨, ೬೩, ೬೪, ೬೫, ೬೬, ೬೭, ೬೮, ೬೯, ೭೦, ೭೧, ೭೨, ೭೩, ೭೪, ೭೫, ೭೬, ೭೭, ೭೮, ೭೯, ೮೦, ೮೧, ೮೨, ೮೩, ೮೪, ೮೫, ೮೬, ೮೭, ೮೮, ೮೯, ೯೦, ೯೧, ೯೨, ೯೩, ೯೪, ೯೫, ೯೬, ೯೭, ೯೮, ೯೯, ೧೦೦, ೧೦೧, ೧೦೨, ೧೦೩, ೧೦೪, ೧೦೫, ೧೦೬, ೧೦೭, ೧೦೮, ೧೦೯, ೧೧೦, ೧೧೧, ೧೧೨, ೧೧೩, ೧೧೪, ೧೧೫, ೧೧೬, ೧೧೭, ೧೧೮, ೧೧೯, ೧೨೦, ೧೨೧, ೧೨೨, ೧೨೩, ೧೨೪, ೧೨೫, ೧೨೬, ೧೨೭, ೧೨೮, ೧೨೯, ೧೩೦, ೧೩೧, ೧೩೨, ೧೩೩, ೧೩೪, ೧೩೫, ೧೩೬, ೧೩೭, ೧೩೮, ೧೩೯, ೧೪೦, ೧೪೧, ೧೪೨, ೧೪೩, ೧೪೪, ೧೪೫, ೧೪೬, ೧೪೭, ೧೪೮, ೧೪೯, ೧೫೦, ೧೫೧, ೧೫೨, ೧೫೩, ೧೫೪, ೧೫೫, ೧೫೬, ೧೫೭, ೧೫೮, ೧೫೯, ೧೬೦, ೧೬೧, ೧೬೨, ೧೬೩, ೧೬೪, ೧೬೫, ೧೬೬, ೧೬೭, ೧೬೮, ೧೬೯, ೧೭೦, ೧೭೧, ೧೭೨, ೧೭೩, ೧೭೪, ೧೭೫, ೧೭೬, ೧೭೭, ೧೭೮, ೧೭೯, ೧೮೦, ೧೮೧, ೧೮೨, ೧೮೩, ೧೮೪, ೧೮೫, ೧೮೬, ೧೮೭, ೧೮೮, ೧೮೯, ೧೯೦, ೧೯೧, ೧೯೨, ೧೯೩, ೧೯೪, ೧೯೫, ೧೯೬, ೧೯೭, ೧೯೮, ೧೯೯, ೨೦೦, ೨೦೧, ೨೦೨, ೨೦೩, ೨೦೪, ೨೦೫, ೨೦೬, ೨೦೭, ೨೦೮, ೨೦೯, ೨೧೦, ೨೧೧, ೨೧೨, ೨೧೩, ೨೧೪, ೨೧೫, ೨೧೬, ೨೧೭, ೨೧೮, ೨೧೯, ೨೨೦, ೨೨೧, ೨೨೨, ೨೨೩, ೨೨೪, ೨೨೫, ೨೨೬, ೨೨೭, ೨೨೮, ೨೨೯, ೨೩೦, ೨೩೧, ೨೩೨, ೨೩೩, ೨೩೪, ೨೩೫, ೨೩೬, ೨೩೭, ೨೩೮, ೨೩೯, ೨೪೦, ೨೪೧, ೨೪೨, ೨೪೩, ೨೪೪, ೨೪೫, ೨೪೬, ೨೪೭, ೨೪೮, ೨೪೯, ೨೫೦, ೨೫೧, ೨೫೨, ೨೫೩, ೨೫೪, ೨೫೫, ೨೫೬, ೨೫೭, ೨೫೮, ೨೫೯, ೨೬೦, ೨೬೧, ೨೬೨, ೨೬೩, ೨೬೪, ೨೬೫, ೨೬೬, ೨೬೭, ೨೬೮, ೨೬೯, ೨೭೦, ೨೭೧, ೨೭೨, ೨೭೩, ೨೭೪, ೨೭೫, ೨೭೬, ೨೭೭, ೨೭೮, ೨೭೯, ೨೮೦, ೨೮೧, ೨೮೨, ೨೮೩, ೨೮೪, ೨೮೫, ೨೮೬, ೨೮೭, ೨೮೮, ೨೮೯, ೨೯೦, ೨೯೧, ೨೯೨, ೨೯೩, ೨೯೪, ೨೯೫, ೨೯೬, ೨೯೭, ೨೯೮, ೨೯೯, ೩೦೦, ೩೦೧, ೩೦೨, ೩೦೩, ೩೦೪, ೩೦೫, ೩೦೬, ೩೦೭, ೩೦೮, ೩೦೯, ೩೧೦, ೩೧೧, ೩೧೨, ೩೧೩, ೩೧೪, ೩೧೫, ೩೧೬, ೩೧೭, ೩೧೮, ೩೧೯, ೩೨೦, ೩೨೧, ೩೨೨, ೩೨೩, ೩೨೪, ೩೨೫, ೩೨೬, ೩೨೭, ೩೨೮, ೩೨೯, ೩೩೦, ೩೩೧, ೩೩೨, ೩೩೩, ೩೩೪, ೩೩೫, ೩೩೬, ೩೩೭, ೩೩೮, ೩೩೯, ೩೪೦, ೩೪೧, ೩೪೨, ೩೪೩, ೩೪೪, ೩೪೫, ೩೪೬, ೩೪೭, ೩೪೮, ೩೪೯, ೩೫೦, ೩೫೧, ೩೫೨, ೩೫೩, ೩೫೪, ೩೫೫, ೩೫೬, ೩೫೭, ೩೫೮, ೩೫೯, ೩೬೦, ೩೬೧, ೩೬೨, ೩೬೩, ೩೬೪, ೩೬೫, ೩೬೬, ೩೬೭, ೩೬೮, ೩೬೯, ೩೭೦, ೩೭೧, ೩೭೨, ೩೭೩, ೩೭೪, ೩೭೫, ೩೭೬, ೩೭೭, ೩೭೮, ೩೭೯, ೩೮೦, ೩೮೧, ೩೮೨, ೩೮೩, ೩೮೪, ೩೮೫, ೩೮೬, ೩೮೭, ೩೮೮, ೩೮೯, ೩೯೦, ೩೯೧, ೩೯೨, ೩೯೩, ೩೯೪, ೩೯೫, ೩೯೬, ೩೯೭, ೩೯೮, ೩೯೯, ೪೦೦, ೪೦೧, ೪೦೨, ೪೦೩, ೪೦೪, ೪೦೫, ೪೦೬, ೪೦೭, ೪೦೮, ೪೦೯, ೪೧೦, ೪೧೧, ೪೧೨, ೪೧೩, ೪೧೪, ೪೧೫, ೪೧೬, ೪೧೭, ೪೧೮, ೪೧೯, ೪೨೦, ೪೨೧, ೪೨೨, ೪೨೩, ೪೨೪, ೪೨೫, ೪೨೬, ೪೨೭, ೪೨೮, ೪೨೯, ೪೩೦, ೪೩೧, ೪೩೨, ೪೩೩, ೪೩೪, ೪೩೫, ೪೩೬, ೪೩೭, ೪೩೮, ೪೩೯, ೪೪೦, ೪೪೧, ೪೪೨, ೪೪೩, ೪೪೪, ೪೪೫, ೪೪೬, ೪೪೭, ೪೪೮, ೪೪೯, ೪೫೦, ೪೫೧, ೪೫೨, ೪೫೩, ೪೫೪, ೪೫೫, ೪೫೬, ೪೫೭, ೪೫೮, ೪೫೯, ೪೬೦, ೪೬೧, ೪೬೨, ೪೬೩, ೪೬೪, ೪೬೫, ೪೬೬, ೪೬೭, ೪೬೮, ೪೬೯, ೪೭೦, ೪೭೧, ೪೭೨, ೪೭೩, ೪೭೪, ೪೭೫, ೪೭೬, ೪೭೭, ೪೭೮, ೪೭೯, ೪೮೦, ೪೮೧, ೪೮೨, ೪೮೩, ೪೮೪, ೪೮೫, ೪೮೬, ೪೮೭, ೪೮೮, ೪೮೯, ೪೯೦, ೪೯೧, ೪೯೨, ೪೯೩, ೪೯೪, ೪೯೫, ೪೯೬, ೪೯೭, ೪೯೮, ೪೯೯, ೫೦೦, ೫೦೧, ೫೦೨, ೫೦೩, ೫೦೪, ೫೦೫, ೫೦೬, ೫೦೭, ೫೦೮, ೫೦೯, ೫೧೦, ೫೧೧, ೫೧೨, ೫೧೩, ೫೧೪, ೫೧೫, ೫೧೬, ೫೧೭, ೫೧೮, ೫೧೯, ೫೨೦, ೫೨೧, ೫೨೨, ೫೨೩, ೫೨೪, ೫೨೫, ೫೨೬, ೫೨೭, ೫೨೮, ೫೨೯, ೫೩೦, ೫೩೧, ೫೩೨, ೫೩೩, ೫೩೪, ೫೩೫, ೫೩೬, ೫೩೭, ೫೩೮, ೫೩೯, ೫೪೦, ೫೪೧, ೫೪೨, ೫೪೩, ೫೪೪, ೫೪೫, ೫೪೬, ೫೪೭, ೫೪೮, ೫೪೯, ೫೫೦, ೫೫೧, ೫೫೨, ೫೫೩, ೫೫೪, ೫೫೫, ೫೫೬, ೫೫೭, ೫೫೮, ೫೫೯, ೫೬೦, ೫೬೧, ೫೬೨, ೫೬೩, ೫೬೪, ೫೬೫, ೫೬೬, ೫೬೭, ೫೬೮, ೫೬೯, ೫೭೦, ೫೭೧, ೫೭೨, ೫೭೩, ೫೭೪, ೫೭೫, ೫೭೬, ೫೭೭, ೫೭೮, ೫೭೯, ೫೮೦, ೫೮೧, ೫೮೨, ೫೮೩, ೫೮೪, ೫೮೫, ೫೮೬, ೫೮೭, ೫೮೮, ೫೮೯, ೫೯೦, ೫೯೧, ೫೯೨, ೫೯೩, ೫೯೪, ೫೯೫, ೫೯೬, ೫೯೭, ೫೯೮, ೫೯೯, ೬೦೦, ೬೦೧, ೬೦೨, ೬೦೩, ೬೦೪, ೬೦೫, ೬೦೬, ೬೦೭, ೬೦೮, ೬೦೯, ೬೧೦, ೬೧೧, ೬೧೨, ೬೧೩, ೬೧೪, ೬೧೫, ೬೧೬, ೬೧೭, ೬೧೮, ೬೧೯, ೬೨೦, ೬೨೧, ೬೨೨, ೬೨೩, ೬೨೪, ೬೨೫, ೬೨೬, ೬೨೭, ೬೨೮, ೬೨೯, ೬೩೦, ೬೩೧, ೬೩೨, ೬೩೩, ೬೩೪, ೬೩೫, ೬೩೬, ೬೩೭, ೬೩೮, ೬೩೯, ೬೪೦, ೬೪೧, ೬೪೨, ೬೪೩, ೬೪೪, ೬೪೫, ೬೪೬, ೬೪೭, ೬೪೮, ೬೪೯, ೬೫೦, ೬೫೧, ೬೫೨, ೬೫೩, ೬೫೪, ೬೫೫, ೬೫೬, ೬೫೭, ೬೫೮, ೬೫೯, ೬೬೦, ೬೬೧, ೬೬೨, ೬೬೩, ೬೬೪, ೬೬೫, ೬೬೬, ೬೬೭, ೬೬೮, ೬೬೯, ೬೭೦, ೬೭೧, ೬೭೨, ೬೭೩, ೬೭೪, ೬೭೫, ೬೭೬, ೬೭೭, ೬೭೮, ೬೭೯, ೬೮೦, ೬೮೧, ೬೮೨, ೬೮೩, ೬೮೪, ೬೮೫, ೬೮೬, ೬೮೭, ೬೮೮, ೬೮೯, ೬೯೦, ೬೯೧, ೬೯೨, ೬೯೩, ೬೯೪, ೬೯೫, ೬೯೬, ೬೯೭, ೬೯೮, ೬೯೯, ೭೦೦, ೭೦೧, ೭೦೨, ೭೦೩, ೭೦೪, ೭೦೫, ೭೦೬, ೭೦೭, ೭೦೮, ೭೦೯, ೭೧೦, ೭೧೧, ೭೧೨, ೭೧೩, ೭೧೪, ೭೧೫, ೭೧೬, ೭೧೭, ೭೧೮, ೭೧೯, ೭೨೦, ೭೨೧, ೭೨೨, ೭೨೩, ೭೨೪, ೭೨೫, ೭೨೬, ೭೨೭, ೭೨೮, ೭೨೯, ೭೩೦, ೭೩೧, ೭೩೨, ೭೩೩, ೭೩೪, ೭೩೫, ೭೩೬, ೭೩೭, ೭೩೮, ೭೩೯, ೭೪೦, ೭೪೧, ೭೪೨, ೭೪೩, ೭೪೪, ೭೪೫, ೭೪೬, ೭೪೭, ೭೪೮, ೭೪೯, ೭೫೦, ೭೫೧, ೭೫೨, ೭೫೩, ೭೫೪, ೭೫೫, ೭೫೬, ೭೫೭, ೭೫೮, ೭೫೯, ೭೬೦, ೭೬೧, ೭೬೨, ೭೬೩, ೭೬೪, ೭೬೫, ೭೬೬, ೭೬೭, ೭೬೮, ೭೬೯, ೭೭೦, ೭೭೧, ೭೭೨, ೭೭೩, ೭೭೪, ೭೭೫, ೭೭೬, ೭೭೭, ೭೭೮, ೭೭೯, ೭೮೦, ೭೮೧, ೭೮೨, ೭೮೩, ೭೮೪, ೭೮೫, ೭೮೬, ೭೮೭, ೭೮೮, ೭೮೯, ೭೯೦, ೭೯೧, ೭೯೨, ೭೯೩, ೭೯೪, ೭೯೫, ೭೯೬, ೭೯೭, ೭೯೮, ೭೯೯, ೮೦೦, ೮೦೧, ೮೦೨, ೮೦೩, ೮೦೪, ೮೦೫, ೮೦೬, ೮೦೭, ೮೦೮, ೮೦೯, ೮೧೦, ೮೧೧, ೮೧೨, ೮೧೩, ೮೧೪, ೮೧೫, ೮೧೬, ೮೧೭, ೮೧೮, ೮೧೯, ೮೨೦, ೮೨೧, ೮೨೨, ೮೨೩, ೮೨೪, ೮೨೫, ೮೨೬, ೮೨೭, ೮೨೮, ೮೨೯, ೮೩೦, ೮೩೧, ೮೩೨, ೮೩೩, ೮೩೪, ೮೩೫, ೮೩೬, ೮೩೭, ೮೩೮, ೮೩೯, ೮೪೦, ೮೪೧, ೮೪೨, ೮೪೩, ೮೪೪, ೮೪೫, ೮೪೬, ೮೪೭, ೮೪೮, ೮೪೯, ೮೫೦, ೮೫೧, ೮೫೨, ೮೫೩, ೮೫೪, ೮೫೫, ೮೫೬, ೮೫೭, ೮೫೮, ೮೫೯, ೮೬೦, ೮೬೧, ೮೬೨, ೮೬೩, ೮೬೪, ೮೬೫, ೮೬೬, ೮೬೭, ೮೬೮, ೮೬೯, ೮೭೦, ೮೭೧, ೮೭೨, ೮೭೩, ೮೭೪, ೮೭೫, ೮೭೬, ೮೭೭, ೮೭೮, ೮೭೯, ೮೮೦, ೮೮೧, ೮೮೨, ೮೮೩, ೮೮೪, ೮೮೫, ೮೮೬, ೮೮೭, ೮೮೮, ೮೮೯, ೮೯೦, ೮೯೧, ೮೯೨, ೮೯೩, ೮೯೪, ೮೯೫, ೮೯೬, ೮೯೭, ೮೯೮, ೮೯೯, ೯೦೦, ೯೦೧, ೯೦೨, ೯೦೩, ೯೦೪, ೯೦೫, ೯೦೬, ೯೦೭, ೯೦೮, ೯೦೯, ೯೧೦, ೯೧೧, ೯೧೨, ೯೧೩, ೯೧೪, ೯೧೫, ೯೧೬, ೯೧೭, ೯೧೮, ೯೧೯, ೯೨೦, ೯೨೧, ೯೨೨, ೯೨೩, ೯೨೪, ೯೨೫, ೯೨೬, ೯೨೭, ೯೨೮, ೯೨೯, ೯೩೦, ೯೩೧, ೯೩೨, ೯೩೩, ೯೩೪, ೯೩೫, ೯೩೬, ೯೩೭, ೯೩೮, ೯೩೯, ೯೪೦, ೯೪೧, ೯೪೨, ೯೪೩, ೯೪೪, ೯೪೫, ೯೪೬, ೯೪೭, ೯೪೮, ೯೪೯, ೯೫೦, ೯೫೧, ೯೫೨, ೯೫೩, ೯೫೪, ೯೫೫, ೯೫೬, ೯೫೭, ೯೫೮, ೯೫೯, ೯೬೦, ೯೬೧, ೯೬೨, ೯೬೩, ೯೬೪, ೯೬೫, ೯೬೬, ೯೬೭, ೯೬೮, ೯೬೯, ೯೭೦, ೯೭೧, ೯೭೨, ೯೭೩, ೯೭೪, ೯೭೫, ೯೭೬, ೯೭೭, ೯೭೮, ೯೭೯, ೯೮೦, ೯೮೧, ೯೮೨, ೯೮೩, ೯೮೪, ೯೮೫, ೯೮೬, ೯೮೭, ೯೮೮, ೯೮೯, ೯೯೦, ೯೯೧, ೯೯೨, ೯೯೩, ೯೯೪, ೯೯೫, ೯೯೬, ೯೯೭, ೯೯೮, ೯೯೯, ೧೦೦೦.

ಒಟ್ಟು ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ ೨೫೭ ಎಕರೆ ೩೨ ಗುಂಟೆ.

ಈ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕದಿಂದ ೨೧ ದಿನಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಭೂಸ್ವಾಧೀನಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೯-೪-೨೦೦೭

ಭೂಸ್ವಾಧೀನಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ಹೊಸಕೋಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಸಂಪಾದಕರು — ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರು

28.8.1907 ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ, ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿರುವ

ಲೇಖನವೊಂದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಲೇಜು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ಕೆಲವು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದೋ ಕೆಟ್ಟದೋ ಎಂಬ ಚರ್ಚೆಯನ್ನು ಬದಿಗಿಟ್ಟು ನೋಡಿದರೆ, ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಓದಿಗೆ ಅಡಚಣೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ಹಣ ಮತ್ತು ಸಮಯ ಎರಡೂ ವ್ಯರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಆರೋಗ್ಯವೂ ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ನಡತೆಯೂ ಕೆಡುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಮನಸ್ಸು ಚಂಚಲವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಏಕಾಗ್ರತೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಜ್ಞಾಪಕಶಕ್ತಿ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿ ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ವಿಮರ್ಶನಾ ಶಕ್ತಿ ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸಂವೇದನಾಶೀಲತೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ರಾಜಕೀಯ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸಾಂಸ್ಕೃತಿಕ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಐತಿಹಾಸಿಕ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಭೌಗೋಳಿಕ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ವೈಜ್ಞಾನಿಕ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಮಾನವೀಯ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಜಾಗತಿಕ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಂತರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಖಂಡ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಪರಿಪೂರ್ಣ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅನಂತ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಶೂನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಕುಂಠಿತವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ನೋಡಲು ಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವವಾದೋತ್ತರ

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

The Palace, Mysore, 28-9-1907

No. 785/1

Have recd & sent with refce.

1451

25-9-07

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzroi Department, Bangalore, for disposal with the request that the petitioners may be informed exactly how the case stands.

No. 366 of 07-08.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

30.9.07 ... 20.6.05

... ...

ఎ.2005 డి/18.10.07
ఉత్తర్వు 3

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

By Regd Post
with 12 annas

5/1/08

Abtd
Amaybe
quoted
4/2/08
M
4/2/08

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಇಲಾಖಾ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರು
ಮತ್ತು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಿಇಓ ಅವರಿಗೆ
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಆಕಾಂ-ಆಡಳಿತ ತರಬೇತಿ-ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪ ವಿಚಾ- ೨೦೧೮
ದಿ. ೨೩ನೇ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ೨೦೧೮ರಂದು ಸಂಜೆ ೪ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಬಿಎಸ್ಆರ್ ೨೦೦ ಅನ್ನು ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಿಸಿ ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

My report from
Mr. [illegible]
V. I. Please see if the
papers produced by the
parties are in the file
& return them after
obtaining orders
[illegible]

No. 608 Dt. 4.8.08.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಆಜ್ಞಾನುಸಾರ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ ಸೇವಕ

By Order,

[illegible]

for Secretary to Govt,
Mysore Department
11/7/08